

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 345 892

RC 018 664

TITLE A Report on Indian-American Education in Michigan.
INSTITUTION Michigan State Board of Education, Lansing.
PUB DATE 87
NOTE 114p.; Tables in Appendix E (35 pages) will copy poorly.
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Statistical Data (110)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC05 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *American Indian Education; *American Indians; Dropout Rate; *Educational Change; *Educational Policy; Elementary Secondary Education; *Equal Education; Grants; Higher Education; Racial Discrimination; School Community Relationship
IDENTIFIERS *Michigan

ABSTRACT

This report presents the recommendations for improving American Indian education made by the Michigan State Superintendent of Schools' Special Native American Advisory Committee. The recommendations were made based on the committee's study of the educational condition of American Indians in Michigan and the federal Indian education programs. Some policy recommendations involve: (1) developing a policy statement regarding Indian education; (2) involving American Indians at all levels; (3) identifying and disseminating information about effective school practices in American Indian education; (4) increasing participation of American Indian children in preschool programs; and (5) reviewing disproportionate representation of American Indians in alternative education programs. Recommendations for activities that require funding include: (1) creating a position of Director of American Indian Education; (2) establishing a position for a staff person within the Office of Higher Education Management Services who would work to improve American Indians' access to post-secondary education; (3) providing various grants for model programs addressing the American Indian community, tribal relations, higher education, coordination of services, teacher education for American Indians, and gifted programs for American Indians; and (4) funding the development of American Indian curriculum materials. Appendix A provides a history of Indian education in Michigan. Appendix B profiles Michigan Indians. Appendix C presents the "Issue Priority Questionnaire" and results from public meetings and mail-in responses. Appendix D contains tables of Michigan Department of Education data. Appendix E summarizes recent federal initiatives in American Indian education. (KS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED345892

Re 078664

A Report On Indian- American Education In Michigan

Michigan
State
Board
Of
Education

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Mary Houghton

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Michigan State Board of Education



Cherry H. Jacobus
President
East Grand Rapids



Annetta Miller
Vice President
Huntington Woods



Dorothy Beardmore
Secretary
Rochester



Rolie Hopgood
Treasurer
Taylor



Dr. Gumecindo Salas
NASBE Delegate
East Lansing



Barbara Dumouchelle
Grosse Ile



Marilyn F. Lundy
Grosse Pointe



Barbara Roberts Mason
Lansing

Ex Officio Members



James J. Blanchard
Governor



Donald L. Bemis
Superintendent
of Public Instruction

1985-87 SUPERINTENDENT'S SPECIAL NATIVE AMERICAN
ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Dr. George Cornell, Chairperson, Native American Institute, Michigan State University, Subcommittee Chairperson, Higher Education

Mr. Paul Johnson, Subcommittee Chairperson, K-12 Education, Michigan Education Association

Mr. Tom Miller, Subcommittee Chairperson, K-12 Education, Hannahville Tribal Contract School

Mr. Michael Parish, Subcommittee Chairperson, K-12 Related Education, Inter-Tribal Council of Michigan, Inc.

Mr. Wagner Wheeler, Subcommittee Chairperson, K-12 Related Education, Grand Rapids Inter-Tribal Council

* * * * *

Ms. Lorna Call
Saginaw-Chippewa Tribal Operations

Mr. Daniel Kaczynski
Traverse Bay Area Intermediate
School District

Mr. William Church
Sault Ste. Marie Area Schools

Ms. Carolyn Kiogima
Michigan Commission on Indian
Affairs

Dr. Michael Connolly
Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa
and Chippewa Indians

Ms. Sharon Kota
Port Huron Area School District

Mr. Gary Deuman
Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa
Indians

Dr. Larry Lezotte
Michigan State University

Ms. Laura Gonzales
Central Michigan University

Ms. Martha McLeod
Bay Mills Community College

Mr. John Hatch
Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa
Indians

Mr. Gordon Newland
L'Anse Townships School District

Ms. Wilma Henry
Traverse City, Michigan

Ms. Geraldine Parish
Bay Mills Indian Community Council

Mr. Ed Jarvie
Eastern Upper Peninsula Intermediate
School District

Mr. Kelly Perrault
Keweenaw Bay Tribal Council

Mrs. Valorie Johnson
Indian Outreach Program

Mr. Elmer Sebastian
Oak Park, Michigan

Mr. Donald Sweeney
Michigan Department of Public
Health Boards

Dr. Norman Weinheimer
Michigan Association of School

Mr. Robert VanAlstine
Bureau of Indian Affairs

Dr. Thomas Lloyd
City of Highland Park

Michigan Department of Education Staff:

Dr. Eugene Cain, Assistant Superintendent
Office of School and Community Affairs

Mr. Lester Gemmill, Indian Education Unit
Office of School and Community Affairs

Mr. Robert Bailey
School Program Services

Mrs. Joan Webkamigad
Bilingual and Migrant Education

Dr. Mary Reiss
Higher Education Management Services

Michigan Department of Civil Rights:

Dr. Bill Cross, Director
Regional Programs and Staff Director to the Committee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chairman's Preface.	i
Foreward.	ii
Introduction.	1
Recommendations	3
Appendices	
A. History of Indian Education in Michigan	14
B. Profile of Michigan Indians	16
C. Public Meetings	18
D. Michigan Department of Education Data	22
E. Recent Federal Initiatives in American Indian Education	61

CHAIRMAN'S PREFACE

The completion of this report represents countless hours of time and travel by the Committee members who were appointed by Dr. Runkel. Like any large committee, though, some members find more time to participate than others, or are delegated more responsibility than others. In the case of this Committee, the subcommittee chairpersons assumed a great deal of responsibility to oversee the revision of earlier drafts of this report and to achieve a consensus on the final draft. Without question, the recommendations contained in this report are shared by the appointed Committee members and are heartily endorsed by the majority of the Committee.

In compiling this report, the Committee decided to work towards a partnership with the Michigan Department of Education. For that reason, we have not engaged in muckraking of past Departmental activities or shortcomings, but rather have focused on a more positive and progressive educational future for Michigan Indians. Cooperation between American Indian tribes and communities and the Michigan Department of Education is absolutely necessary if educational equity is going to be achieved in the coming years.

I would like to thank the Committee members who regularly attended our meetings around the state, and I would like to particularly thank the subcommittee chairpersons who traveled to public meetings and special sessions to revise and finalize this report.

I would also like to thank Dr. Phillip E. Runkel, Superintendent of Public Instruction, for his unfailing support of the work of the Committee and for allowing the Committee to work in a completely autonomous fashion. In addition, I would like to thank Dr. Gumecindo Salas and the members, past and present, of the State Board of Education. The Board authorized and requested this report, and for that initiative they are to be commended.

Respectfully,

Dr. George L. Cornell, Chairperson
Superintendent's Special Advisory Committee

FOREWORD

On December 12, 1984, the State Board of Education passed a motion requesting the Superintendent of Public Instruction to appoint a special committee (State Superintendent's Special Advisory Committee), working in concert with the Michigan American Indian community, to study the educational condition of American Indians. Further, the Committee was requested to report to the Board, through the Superintendent, the findings and recommendations for ameliorating such inequalities as may exist in the education of American Indians in Michigan.

The Superintendent, Dr. Phillip E. Runkel, appointed members to the Committee in March of 1985 and presented the following charges:

1. REVIEW THE DELIVERY OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES TO AMERICAN INDIANS IN MICHIGAN'S K-12 PROGRAMS, INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.
2. MAKE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REDUCING THE DROPOUT RATE OF AMERICAN INDIAN YOUTH.
3. ACQUIRE INFORMATION FROM SELECTED SCHOOL DISTRICTS ON THE STATUS OF AMERICAN INDIAN STUDENTS.
4. REVIEW CURRENT AND PENDING FEDERAL EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS/LEGISLATION AFFECTING AMERICAN INDIANS IN MICHIGAN.
5. REVIEW THE STATUS OF AMERICAN INDIANS IN MICHIGAN'S INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING FOCUSING PARTICULARLY ON RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION.

Dr. George L. Cornell, Director of the Native American Institute, Michigan State University, was asked to serve as Chairperson of the Committee. The Committee was provided the freedom to develop a report with no intervention from the State Superintendent of Public Instruction or the Michigan Department of Education. Both, however, provided the resources, data, information and staff support necessary to enhance the work of the Committee.

The Committee, when meeting in Lansing, held sessions with the staff of the Michigan Department of Education who had the responsibility for programs and policies in diverse educational areas. These sessions provided the opportunity for the Committee to become acquainted with the operations and functions of the different units within the Michigan Department of Education. In addition, these sessions provided an opportunity for the Committee to become more informed on the development of new and proposed changes in programs and services of the Michigan Department of Education.

The Committee, as a whole, met in several locations in the state and, in addition, held a series of public meetings around the state (Escanaba, Grand Rapids, Mt. Pleasant, Hartford, Sault Ste. Marie, Traverse City, and Warren)

which were attended by the subcommittee chairpersons. At these public meetings, American Indian parents, school administrators, teachers, and interested parties were encouraged to respond to a preliminary set of recommendations which had been circulated by the Committee. Further, the public was invited to provide insights and new recommendations to the Committee regarding the education of American Indians.

The public meetings also served as an important source of information for the Committee. Some important points which were brought out at the public meetings are:

- In school districts where the relationship between the Superintendent and the American Indian community is positive, American Indian students fare much better.
- Dropout rates were also lower in these districts which had a good working relationship between Indian community leaders and school officials.
- Suspension rates were lower in schools with a good working relationship with the Indian community.
- Young American Indian students (age 13-15) are being "assigned at home" and not allowed to complete grade level course work. No Educational services are provided and this long-term home detention of students for disciplinary and absentee reasons is inconsistent with school districts receiving state aid for these pupils.
- In areas where there were noticeable problems between school officials and the Indian community, there was a higher incidence of student failure. In certain cases, reasonable transportation requests have been denied by school officials, thus resulting in the death of children.
- Alternative schools have been and will continue to be important educational options for American Indian communities.
- Young Indian children who have been tested as developmentally ready for kindergarten by Head Start or other preschool programs are being unfairly tracked into "early five" programs possibly due to inappropriate testing practices, but resulting in an additional year of schooling for the child and additional federal funding for the local school district.

INTRODUCTION

The lack of quality education has handicapped American Indian students resulting in low self-esteem, low achievement, unemployment and under-employment, and severely limiting one of life's most precious possessions--HUMAN POTENTIAL. The findings and recommendations in this report provide a basis for assuring the "Quality of Educational Excellence" for American Indian students. If implemented, the Committee firmly believes that these measures can alleviate the inequalities of the past, and create a new and optimistic future for Indian education.

In April of 1983, the National Commission on Excellence in Education published A Nation At Risk, which put forth the premise that educational improvements must be made at the local, state, and national levels if we, as a nation, are to regain educational stature in the international community. Three years have passed since this report was published, and many states are beginning to implement educational reforms designed to strengthen the educational community.

Michigan has developed and adopted two major programmatic documents, Michigan K-12 Program Standards of Quality and Better Education for Michigan Citizens: A Blueprint for Action, to meet the challenge. These two plans for action are designed to serve as guideposts for the improvement of educational standards. The Superintendent's Committee on American Indian Education found a mutual concern between the Michigan Department of Education and the Indian community of Michigan. Both groups recognize that "Excellence in Education" is a necessity for the survival of all children in the state.

"Excellence in Education" is an interest shared by Indian communities, but, a more common thread in the fabric of testimonies given at public meetings was the concern for "Equity in Excellence." The following excerpt from a written statement received by the Committee expresses the issue of equity quite eloquently.

In my experience, there is a pervasive racism throughout the school system and in fact, in society--that affects our Indian children at all levels. While there is no longer a "Whites Only" beach and while I am no longer refused service in bars and restaurants, the racism is firmly in place. Much of the racism is unwitting racism, like the enclosed alphabet flash card that shows an "I" for Indian; thus, equating our children with things and making of them a symbol instead of an individual. Because the racism is so unconscious, it is very hard to correct. Even when you convince a teacher that a certain alphabet card is offensive, or the recitation of "Ten Little Indians" is something that makes you uncomfortable, she/he often sees no reason to change since she/he was taught with these materials as a child, all the other teachers

are using the materials, the school system itself has provided the materials, none of his/her friends find them offensive, and furthermore, it's all she/he has to use. As a parent it is impossible to anticipate every situation where this kind of status quo racism might crop up and when it's already in progress it's difficult to say anything without embarrassing the teacher and making him/her hostile. For the sake of one's own child then; the issue is ignored and, in the long run, this simply perpetuates the problem.

Committee members were repeatedly reminded that racial discrimination still exists in Michigan schools. Statements made to the Committee at public meetings expressed concern that daily practices and institutional mechanisms in public schools continually undermine the Indian student's self-esteem and work to push him/her out of school.

Of particular concerns to many people who made statements before the Committee were the disciplinary policies and practices of local districts which result in punishment and suspension of a disproportionate number of Indian students. Further, the school policies often contained vague and unclear language and failed to separate serious offenses from the minor, but aggravating infractions. Frequently, cultural assumptions lead to misinterpretations of student behaviors. The aforementioned concerns are directly related to dropout rates and attendance patterns which were ranked as the highest concerns among the respondents who completed the questionnaire distributed by the Committee.

Clearly, inequities exist among school districts within Michigan which causes a problem in the allocation of school resources. It troubles the Superintendent's Committee that these inequities are inherent in the process of funding education and that it is not a more important issue on the current national agenda. This is not to say that additional funding alone will make a difference. The Committee firmly realizes that funding for new and/or expanded programs will not be enough. A renewed commitment from the State Board of Education, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, local school boards, administrators, teachers, school support personnel, parents, and students is needed if we are to improve the educational outcomes for American Indian children and adults. Effective school research clearly indicates that educational changes which positively impact educational outcomes must originate with "Top" policy makers. For this reason, the State Board of Education must demonstrate key leadership in formulating educational policy which affects Indian Education.

The Superintendent's Committee recognizes that the State Board of Education, as per Article VIII, Section 3 of the Michigan Constitution, has direct responsibility for ensuring the education of Indian students. Policy makers in Michigan, including the State Board of Education and the Superintendent for Public Instruction, must understand that there exists unique relationship between the federal government and American Indians, which was recognized long before the formation of the Michigan public school system. This relationship has been solidified by treaties and hundreds of federal laws, regulations, and court cases, none of which have diminished the state's responsibility for educating American Indians.

In recognition of the State of Michigan's responsibility for Indian education, an agreement between the state and the federal government was reached in 1934. The 1934 Comstock Agreement transferred federal lands to the State of Michigan and, in exchange, the state agreed to educate American Indians in public institutions.

The responsibility for educating American Indians rests with the State of Michigan. Of the 13,825 Indian students in school, the vast majority are enrolled in the public schools. Historically, the State of Michigan has had a strong commitment to public education. In reality though, American Indians have not fared as well as other students as a result of the state's lack of commitment. The findings and recommendations in this report, when implemented, alleviate inequities of the past and create a new and optimistic future for American Indian Education.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee in order to accomplish the task of meeting the charges presented by the State Superintendent formed the following three subcommittees.

1. K-12 Education
2. K-12 Related Education, Intermediate School Districts, Preschool, Adult Education, Special Education, and Vocational Education
3. Higher Education

The work of the subcommittees was accomplished independent of each other in developing the recommendations for their respective areas. Once a full set of recommendations were developed, sessions of the Committee as a whole were held to coordinate and integrate the proposed recommendations into final form.

The Committee attempted to provide a full range of recommendations that included concerns from early childhood education to postsecondary and vocational education.

The proposed recommendations were compiled into a document and mailed to school districts and the various American Indian communities prior to a series of public meetings held in seven different geographic areas in the state.

The comments from the public meetings were used by the Committee to refine and improve the recommendations. The Committee elected to present its recommendations in two categories. These categories are:

1. POLICY CONSIDERATIONS
2. ACTIVITIES WHICH REQUIRE FUNDING

The findings and recommendations are presented as follows.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

I. MICHIGAN STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

- A. Recommendation--The State Board of Education, in conjunction with the Michigan Indian Community shall develop a written policy statement regarding Indian Education which will provide direction to the Superintendent of Public Instruction and the Department.

Rationale--Currently, there are no written policy statements which detail the responsibility of the Superintendent for Public Instruction and the Department in providing educational services to American Indian communities. Due to the unique legal status of American Indians, a policy statement by the State Board on this issue is crucial to ensure Departmental continuity in services to American Indians in Michigan.

- B. Recommendation--The State Board of Education will direct the Superintendent to initiate discussions with tribal leaders to develop a process by which the Michigan Department of Education can implement a policy of working directly with Michigan Indian Tribes.

Rationale--The Committee deems it necessary for the Superintendent and tribal leaders to begin discussions of how to promote and facilitate a closer working relationship between these groups. This is mandated since tribes are empowered to initiate/run their own educational agencies. It is crucial though, that cooperation with the Michigan Department of Education be achieved so as to provide the most supportive environment for American Indian students.

- C. Recommendation--The State Board of Education will ensure the appointment of American Indians to all mandated and non-mandated Citizen Advisory Committees.

Rationale--Presently, there are several policy development advisory committees that do not have American Indian representation. The participation of Indian educators and concerned parents is imperative to achieve equity in educational excellence.

- D. Recommendation--The State Board of Education should disseminate the findings and recommendations of this report to the appropriate agencies and Indian tribes/organizations.

Rationale--It is imperative that the recommendations and findings of the Committee be disseminated to those individuals and organizations who are charged with implementing educational reforms affecting American Indian education. /

II. MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

- A. Recommendation--The Superintendent of Public Instruction should establish a reference group on American Indian education, comprised of representatives from Indian tribes, Indian organizations, and/or organizations who are concerned with Indian education. The purpose of this group would be to advise the Superintendent regarding the ongoing educational needs of American Indians.

Rationale--Statements at the public meetings conducted by the Committee attested to the need for enhanced communication between American Indian communities and the State Superintendent. In order for the Michigan Department of Education to have an impact on improving American Indian education, the Department, through the State Superintendent, needs to have appropriate information on the educational needs of American Indians.

- B. Recommendation--The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall direct the Michigan Department of Education to collect data which specifically delineates the needs, current status and possible educational interventions which will improve the educational status of American Indians.

Rationale--The data presently collected by the Michigan Department of Education was insufficient to examine the performance of American Indian students in the public schools. The vast majority of data, some of which is included in this report, only reports numbers of Indian students in grade levels and districts and their proportionate percentage to other ethnic groups. Although this data is helpful, it does not address the hard questions pertaining to the educational experience of American Indian students in the public schools.

- C. Recommendations--The Superintendent of Public Instruction will identify and disseminate information about Michigan LEA's and/or LEA's in the Great Lakes Region which have developed "effective school" practices in American Indian Education.

Rationale--The Committee did make an attempt to collect data on Indian students from select districts but these efforts were hampered by time limitations and the summer vacation. Two school districts, Lansing and Sault Ste. Marie, did provide very good data on their respective students to the Committee. It would be very difficult to generalize Indian student academic characteristics from the information provided by these school districts, and this task would be more successfully handled by the Michigan Department of Education in a comprehensive survey of Indian students in public schools.

- D. Recommendation--The Superintendent of Public Instruction will collaborate with other Human Service Departments to review policy, examine successful model, develop innovative program efforts, provide training and support for local efforts focusing on issues impacting "at risk" Indian youth.

Rationale--There is a need to maximize the efficiency of existing, yet divergent Human Service programs to bring these resources to bear on Indian students who are at risk in greater proportion to the population at large in the areas of teen pregnancy, substance abuse, developmentally disabled, etc. Currently, a number of these service programs fail to coordinate their efforts thereby inhibiting their ability to provide adequate services and even resulting in redundant service.

- E. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education should encourage local school districts to initiate early contact (elementary grades) between school counselors and American Indian students.

Rationale--The socio-economic condition of many American Indian families, in addition to the lack of understanding of American Indian cultures by public school personnel, places many Indian students in the at-risk category. Counseling services provided to Indian children in the elementary grades can support continued educational success. One school counselor made the following statement to the Committee.

"I am an elementary counselor in the Elementary School. I feel that counseling at the elementary level (for all children) will help a child handle situations that many times keep them from concentrating on learning the basic skills necessary for success in later years."

- F. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education should assist American Indian communities to increase participation of American Indian children in preschool programs.

Rationale--Six major scientific longitudinal studies, i.e., Perry Project, Ypsilanti, Michigan, have indicated that preschool programs for at-risk children help to prevent school failure. Early childhood programs now in operation in American Indian communities have had a positive impact on their educational experience. The Michigan Department of Education needs to assist Indian education by ensuring that American Indian children receive the benefits of State-funded programs.

- G. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education, in conjunction with Michigan Indian Community leaders, shall develop parental involvement materials which will assist Indian parents/community leaders in achieving greater participation in the development and implementation of Education policies and practices.

Rationale--The Committee, reinforced by comments made at public meetings, supports the idea that Indian people need to be more involved with schools. Effective school research indicates a direct relationship between parental involvement and school achievement. The Michigan Department of Education can assist parents and support them in their role by providing free parenting resources through appropriate public and private agencies.

- H. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education should initiate contact with the appropriate Departments of State government to begin discussions on a plan that would coordinate local counseling services for American Indian students and their families.

Rationale--In many counties, coordination between community based counseling programs and American Indian communities is inadequate. These counseling programs are in a position to provide coordinated services to enhance the educational opportunity of American Indians. The Committee is convinced that this activity will also have a positive impact on the retention of Indian students in the public system.

- I. Recommendation--The Superintendent of Public Instruction should recommend to the Statewide Coordinating Committee for Michigan Adult Literacy that every effort is made to include American Indians as the implementation of the Committee's (SCC-MAL) goals are realized.

Rationale--The number of adults within the Indian community who can be considered illiterate or functionally illiterate is not known. What is known is that of all ethnic groups residing in Michigan, American Indians continually appear at or near the bottom on all measures used to determine educational attainment. Therefore, it can be surmised that there is a great need for programs that promote literacy. The identification of non-readers within the Indian community is crucial and programs must be devised to alleviate these problems.

- J. Recommendation--The Superintendent of Public Instruction should actively promote methods to involve Indian people in the development and delivery of Community Adult Education Programs.

Rationale--It was brought to the attention of the Committee that there is a need to make sure that American Indians, who are enrolled in GED/ABE and high school completion programs receive training in their community facilities. This is required to maximize the number of American Indians who will be able to continue their education, thereby promoting a continuing educational experience.

- K. Recommendation--The Superintendent for Public Instruction should review and evaluate local school districts and intermediate school districts which administer alternative education programs where American Indians are disproportionately represented.

Rationale--Information provided to the Committee indicates that in some school districts, alternative education programs produced more graduates from the American Indian community than did the regular public school system. The importance of alternative education is noted by the Committee, yet the Committee fears the use of alternative schools as a "holding" facility for Indian students who have failed to achieve in the traditional public system.

- L. Recommendation--The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall require each Service Area Director to develop a management plan to increase services to American Indian communities. These management plans will be reviewed and evaluated annually.

Rationale--The Committee is aware that many service areas of the Michigan Department of Education are required by federal regulations to submit state plans for implementation of programs which are supported by federal funds. Although American Indians are included in some of these State plans, the level of services received by American Indian communities has not been consistent.

ACTIVITIES WHICH REQUIRE FUNDING

I. MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

- A. Recommendation--The Committee recommends that the Michigan Department of Education create a position of Director of American Indian Education. The Director would report to the Superintendent on all matters concerning American Indian education, participate as a member of the Superintendent's Executive Council and have an adequate budget to carry out the following functions:

1. Develop a comprehensive American Indian education program which would ensure the inclusion of American Indians into developing, monitoring, and assessing programs administered by the Michigan Department of Education. Input on the formulation or revision of State plans, i.e., Vocational Education, Adult Education, Special Education, and Vocational Rehabilitation would also be ensured.
2. Provide assistance to the Superintendent of Public Instruction on State/Federal policy and legislative matters which have implications for American Indian education.
3. Act as liaison to other departments of Michigan government regarding American Indian education.
4. Provide assistance to American Indian communities to ensure inclusion in programs/services administered by local school districts, and other educational institutions.
5. Initiate the development and implementation of an Indian internship program within the Michigan Department of Education.
6. Monitor the State Department of Education's Affirmative Action Plan as it relates to American Indian recruitment, employment, and promotions.
7. Assist the Office of Technical Assistance and Evaluation with data collection/analysis to ensure the inclusion of American Indians in all reports issued by the Michigan Department of Education.

8. Develop an annual report on the state of American Indian education which includes the level of services which have been provided by the various programs administered by the Michigan Department of Education.

Rationale--Within the Michigan Department of Education, the coordination of services for American Indians is inadequate. In addition, communication with local programs is poor and data collected by the Department is not accurate. If "equity in educational excellence" is to be achieved, changes must be made to provide greater coordination/ access to programs and services of the Michigan Department of Education. It is the consensus opinion of the Committee that the creation of the Director of American Indian Education position is the first step in realizing the goal of equity for Indian children.

- B. Recommendation--The Committee requests that the Michigan Department of Education establish a position within the Office of Higher Education Management Services to ensure the coordination and implementation of Departmental efforts to increase the number of American Indians in post-secondary education. This position would be responsible for:

1. Development of a "State Plan on American Indian Post-Secondary Education" to improve access for American Indians to postsecondary educational opportunities.
2. Increase the number of American Indians enrolling in existing programs and develop new programs which would increase educational opportunities in post-secondary education.
3. Monitor employment opportunities within post-secondary institutions and work with American Indian communities to develop a "Vita Bank" of American Indians who seek work opportunities in higher education.
4. Provide American Indian communities and public school personnel with information on all available financial aid programs.
5. Develop a statewide "American Indian Educational Internship Program" for the placement of American Indian within post-secondary institutions and within the Michigan Department of Education. This program should also include work-study opportunities.
6. Assist colleges and universities with efforts to recruit and retain American Indian students.
7. Work with colleges and universities who have teacher training programs to increase the number of American Indian students in the teaching profession.

Rationale--Equal access to higher education for women, minorities, and handicappers was presented as some of the major recommendations submitted to Governor Blanchard by the Commission on the Future of Higher Education in December, 1984. The Commission cited that while enrollment data shows that access to higher education has broadened over the past two decades, there remain areas in which further progress is necessary. In retrospect, the access to higher education for American Indians has increased very little and, in fact, has declined in some areas. The State Superintendent's Report on Minorities, Handicappers and Women in Michigan's Colleges and Universities stated that:

In sum, the dramatic drop of minority enrollments in the State of Michigan in the past decade continues to be a grave problem--most shocking is the fact that while the Native American high school graduation rate increased 93.6% between 1980 and 1984, their (college and university) enrollment declined 10.2%.

In order to provide equity of access, it is necessary for the Michigan Department of Education to make a special and concerted effort to commit staff and other resources to increasing post-secondary educational opportunities for American Indians.

- C. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education should provide five incentive grants per year to local school districts or consortiums to develop and implement workshops for American Indian community leaders, parents and students to create a greater understanding of the operations and functions of local educational systems. The development of these programs must include input from local Indian communities.

Rationale--Comments made at the public meetings held by the Committee reinforce the need for the above recommended activity. One local superintendent stated:

We agree with the Committee position that "Cooperative interaction between parents and schools is an important factor in determining the successful participation of students in the educational process."

Communication is clearly a two-way process--school districts need to encourage American Indian involvement and parents must recognize their responsibility to participate, as well. Typically, less than ten percent of our Native American parents attend secondary parent-teacher conferences. Final recommendations should reflect plans for parent in-servicing as well as school staff servicing.

- D. Recommendation--The Committee recommends that as the discussion of Tribal-State relations continues (see Policy Considerations), that an adjunct discussion be pursued which would explore and identify options for providing a funding formula for tribes; i.e., Tribal Contract school. Until this recommendation can be acted upon by the Michigan Department of Education the Committee requests that a model project grant be incorporated and specified for the Indian Tribes in Michigan in the amount of \$300,000.

Rationale--Tribal education systems need to strengthen and initiate educational programs that increase the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the tribal education systems (i.e., Indian students who attend the successful tribal contract school on the Hannahville Reservation are not supported by the State Aid formula.

- E. Recommendation--A model project grant shall be incorporated and specified in the Michigan Department of Education budget in the sum of \$300,000 for the purpose of developing and/or expanding post-secondary/higher education training experiences in federally recognized Indian communities.

Rationale--The Bay Mills Community College began operation in 1981 and provides educational programs which benefit the American Indian community. In 1985, the College's enrollment was 243 students, and currently nearly 300 students are participating in academic programs. The College also provides educational opportunities for non-Indians in the Sault Ste. Marie area.

- F. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education should fund three model programs through school districts, and in cooperation with local American Indian communities, to promote the coordination of existing services from State and local agencies to American Indian communities. Specific agencies and programs discussed by the Committee include: Mental Health, Public Health, Social Services, Youth Employment, and the Judicial system.

Rationale--A primary problem in service delivery to American Indian communities is a lack of coordination between existing service providers. This lack of coordination has had a direct impact on the retention rates of American Indian students. Some topical areas discussed by the Committee were: The Department of Mental Health, i.e., Crisis Counseling; Department of Social Services, i.e., Teen Pregnancy, School Youth Advocacy; Department of Labor, i.e., Youth Employment; Office of Substance Abuse Services, i.e., Teen Substance Abuse Services. School districts, in cooperation with the above agencies, can provide a coordinated approach in developing strategies to increase the retention rate of American Indian students in the public schools.

- G. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education, through the Bureau of Post-Secondary Education, should provide a minimum of four incentive grants to colleges and universities for the purpose of increasing the number of American Indians entering the educational profession. Special emphasis of these incentive grants should be placed on teacher education.

Rationale--A local school superintendent provided the following statement to the Committee.

We recognize the need to provide Native American students with good role models. The key concern is one of supply. If schools are to begin to actively recruit certified Native American teachers, counselors, etc., an effort must be made to increase the number of qualified candidates. The State could assist in this endeavor by developing special scholarship/loan opportunities tied directly to participation in teacher education programs.

In addition to this written statement received by the Committee, there are several school districts who are seeking American Indians for teaching and administrative positions, yet have been unable to locate American Indian applicants.

- H. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education should create four incentive grants for the express purpose of developing culturally based programs that will assist school counselors and student support services personnel to better understand and serve American Indian students.

Rationale--Information provided to the Superintendent's Committee by local school districts and school counselors indicates that many school counselors are unable to adequately meet the needs of Indian students. This lack of understanding of Indian students and their culture can be alleviated by workshops/training sessions. The Bay Mills Community College, or other American Indian organizations, could provide training opportunities which would improve the working relationship between counselors and Indian students thus ensuring greater success of Indians in the public school system.

- I. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education, in cooperation with the intermediate school districts and other appropriate agencies, should fund the collection, development, and dissemination of American Indian curriculum materials.

Rationale--American Indian communities and school districts have expressed the need for the development and distribution of curriculum materials on American Indians. One public school administrator commented at a public meeting held by the Committee:

We cannot let the Anglo-Saxinization of the American Indian destroy his or her culture. Let us all support the need for professional development and in-service in the area of

Native American culture and let us encourage the State of Michigan to set aside specially ear-marked monies for such endeavors.

- J. Recommendation--The Committee recommends that the Michigan Department of Education provide mini-grants for American Indian gifted and talented programs at the elementary level.

Rationale--The establishment of such programs for young Indian students will reinforce the learning experience in the public schools and promote student retention.

- L. Recommendation--The Michigan Department of Education working in cooperation with the Michigan Department of Mental Health and the Michigan Department of Public Health (OSAS) should fund a joint project to determine the suicide rate among American Indians in Michigan with special emphasis on youth suicide. Culturally appropriate follow-up and intervention strategies should be identified and disseminated.

Rationale--Concern regarding the suicide of American Indian students was raised in the public meetings held by the Committee. Committee staff members investigated the issue of suicide among American Indian students in Michigan and found no statistical data. The issue of suicide most certainly relates to education.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

HISTORY OF INDIAN EDUCATION IN MICHIGAN

The educational process for American Indians did not begin with the coming of Europeans. Tribal groups, across what is now the Americas, each had educational systems to inform their young people regarding tribal history, culture, and economic matters.

The educational problems of American Indians, however, did begin with Europeans. Attendance problems, dropout rates, and low achievement levels began to surface as American Indian students were confronted with different cultural patterns and standards in educational settings controlled by nonIndian peoples.

Formal attempts to educate American Indian students in Michigan began in the early 1800's when Father Gabriel Richard founded an "Indian Academie" near Detroit. In the Academie, Richard educated 22 American Indians to farming techniques which were commonly used during the period.

Prior to Richard's efforts, "Missionary Day Schools" were established in the 1700's by various church groups in several locations across the Old Northwest and Michigan Territory. These missionary day schools later became known as "Contract Schools" when the federal government began to provide funding for Indian education. Funding for Indian education by the federal government was initiated as a result of treaty provisions requested by tribal leaders. Treaty field notes and the articles of treaties support the fact that education was perceived as an important vehicle for Indian progress by tribal leaders.

Teachers in the contract day schools eventually began to complain to federal officials responsible for Indian education that Indian students were learning appropriate behaviors and lessons during the day, yet when they returned home at night, they had to live by the norms and mores of their Indian culture. This contrast, according to the teachers, was impeding the educational progress of the students.

In the late 1800's, the federal government attempted to "correct" this problem by creating "Indian Boarding Schools." The boarding school concept intended to take Indian children from their homes for the entire academic year so that Indian students would learn the behaviors of the dominant culture on a full-time basis. In the beginning of the boarding school experiment, Indian students were allowed to return home during the summer months. This was eventually eliminated in favor of placing Indian students with white families over the summer.

The boarding school concept failed to take into account the closeness of the Indian family. In the fall, when it was time for Indian children to return to the boarding school for the next academic year, parents of students often attempted to hide their children. In many instances, Indian agents of the federal government tracked down the children and returned them to school. The efforts of Indian parents in keeping their children out of school led to the initiation of a federal policy which did not allow Indian children to return home for the summer months. Instead, many of the older students were contracted to wealthy families as domestic servants, if they were women, and farm laborers, if they were men. The younger students were kept at the school. In some cases, Indian students spent their entire youth in boarding schools.

The Great Depression of the late 1920's and early 1930's had an impact on Indian Education. The depression convinced the federal government to close many of the boarding schools and transfer Indian students to the state public school system.

In 1934, for the first time, American Indian children were officially allowed to attend public schools in Michigan. This official sanction was provided by the provisions of the Comstock Agreement. This agreement, signed by Governor Comstock, on May 10, 1934, was initiated in response to federal legislation passed on February 19, 1934. By the provisions of the legislation, Michigan received "the property known and designated as the MOUNT PLEASANT INDIAN SCHOOL, located at Mount Pleasant, Michigan, such grant to include the land and buildings and such equipment as may be designated by the Secretary of the Interior." In return for this grant of land and buildings from the federal government, Governor Comstock agreed to accept the responsibility of educating American Indians "in State institutions."

The integration of Indian children into Michigan's public school system proved to be as difficult as the government's attempts to integrate Indians into American society. Over time, the educational problems which confront American Indian populations began to surface. There seems to be little doubt that Michigan's Indian populations have not fared as well as other groups in the educational process.

One point that needs to be clearly addressed in the examination of the historical experience of American Indians as participants in the public educational system is that society, government, and the public schools have forced their values and modes of teaching on American Indian students. This has been accomplished, unfortunately, without accepting or teaching the contributions of American Indians to United States and world society. Today, we find most public school children have no understanding of who and what Native peoples were in the past. In addition to this, American Indians are often viewed as artifacts of history, when in reality over 60,000 Indians still reside in Michigan and numerous tribal governments function as the political successors to historical Indian nations.

These facts need to be taught in the public school system so as to promote a heightened understanding of American Indians. Without question, it is impossible to understand the historical growth and maturation of the United States without studying the interaction between the colonial government s/federal government and Indian nations. American Indian students in the public schools must have access to this information so that their heritage and culture are promoted. Non-Indian students need this information to clarify historical stereotypes and develop an accurate understanding of Native peoples.

The United States is comprised of diverse ethnic groups. The country has been referred to as "A Nation of Immigrants" on more than one occasion, yet our educational system does not reflect the diversity of thought and knowledge which encompasses American Indians. The "Americanization" of the public school system needs to occur. This transition must include cultural diversity and will most certainly promote equity in educational opportunity. When this occurs, problems relating to American Indian education will decrease and understanding will be promoted between diverse peoples.

APPENDIX B

PROFILE OF MICHIGAN INDIANS

As a part of the 1980 census, 44,919 Michigan residents reported their race as American Indian and this represented 0.5 percent of Michigan's population. Two-thirds of the American Indian population in Michigan reside in urban areas. The Michigan Commission of Indian Affairs revised the census data and currently estimates the American Indian population in the state to be 60,000.

The median age for American Indian males in 1980 was 22.7 years and the median age for females was 23.1 years. Since this is a median age, one-half of the total population was younger than these age levels.

Over half of all American Indian households (55.6%) in 1980 consisted of married families and three-fourths of those homes had children present. Twenty-six percent (26%) of all households were headed by a female, and 82 percent of the households under the poverty level on reservations were headed by females.

The median income of American Indian families in 1979 was \$15,916 compared to \$22,841 for non-Indian households.

The per capita income for American Indians was \$5,149, the lowest of any minority group within the United States.

In 1980, American Indian men had the second highest level of employment. American Indian women in the work force had the highest level of unemployment of any racial/ethnic group members.

High school completion rates for American Indians have improved between 1970 and 1980. Thirty percent (30.4%) had completed high school in 1970, and 56.2 percent had completed high school in 1980. American Indian high school completion rates still represent only 80 percent of the white completion rate.

In 1984, the Michigan Commission on Civil Rights reported that the dropout rate among American Indian children was higher than that of white children. The Commission reported:

The dropout rate among Indian school children is higher than among white school children. According to the 1980 Michigan Census for adults who are 23 years of age and over, 43.8% of American Indians have not completed high school. In contrast, 30.3% of whites have not finished high school. At the other end of the spectrum, American Indians have the lowest percentage (6.3%) of adults receiving college degrees. By comparison, 14.3% of whites obtained college diplomas. Dropout information received from the State Department of Education for the school year 1980-81, also indicated a high dropout percentage in several school districts. Other data furnished by the Department of Education showed the dropout rate among Indian school children for the year 1980-81 was 7.15% compared to 5.30% for white children. A breakdown of this figure by grade level, however, reveals that approximately 29% of all Indian children leave school between the grades of 9 and 12. Further, it appears that the number of high school graduates has

declined dramatically since 1979 when 3,416 Indian students received high school diplomas. In 1980, this number fell to 1,021; in 1981 it was 1,228; in 1982 the total was 1,081. This data, however, only focuses on Indian school children who did not complete the regular high school program. It does not take into account those Indian school children who transferred to another school district; who left in favor of special Indian schools in North Dakota or South Dakota; who dropped out of regular high school but subsequently enrolled in and graduated from adult education classes and/or alternative schools; G.E.D. programs.

In 1984-85, according to the Michigan Department of Education, there were 13,825 Indian students in the public schools. This total includes some of the 3,325 students who participated in the Title IV, Indian Education, Part A programs from around the state.

American Indian enrollment in higher education dropped from 0.54 percent in 1976 to 0.49 percent in 1984, according to the Superintendent's Special Committee on Minorities, Handicapped and Women in Michigan Colleges and Universities. The report also stated that the drop in minority enrollments in Michigan continues to be a grave problem. Most shocking is the fact that while the Native American high school graduation rate increased 93.6 percent between 1980 and 1984, their college enrollment declined 10.2 percent.

American Indian enrollments in Michigan's colleges and universities for 1974-84 are as follows:

Year	2-Year		4-Year		Total
	No.	%	No.	%	
1974	576	0.38	914	0.62	1,490
1976	1,235	0.53	1,120	0.47	2,355
1978	1,444	0.61	949	0.39	2,393
1980	1,500	0.59	1,074	0.41	2,574
1982	1,720	0.64	985	0.36	2,705
1984	1,167	0.52	1,100	0.48	2,267

APPENDIX C

PUBLIC MEETINGS

Public meetings were held in Escanaba, Sault Ste. Marie, Traverse City, Mount Pleasant, Hartford, Grand Rapids, and Warren. These meetings were during the week of January 20-24, 1986, and the purpose of the public meetings was to present the preliminary set of recommendations which were developed by the Committee for public review and comment.

In addition to the public input at the meetings, the preliminary recommendations were sent to school districts and American Indian organizations in areas of the state where public meetings were not held and respondents were requested to mail their comments and concerns to the Committee.

Within the packets used for both the public meetings and the mailings, the Committee included an "Issue Priority Questionnaire" and respondents were asked to rank seventeen different issues in their geographic region. The respondents ranked the issues by awarding numeric values to the issue areas.

- 4 points - Very Important
- 3 points - Important
- 2 points - Somewhat Important
- 1 point - Not Very Important

The respondents who completed the questionnaires consistently ranked **DROPOUT RATES** and **ATTENDANCE** issues as the highest priority.

ISSUE PRIORITY QUESTIONNAIRE

The following is a list of issue areas. Please rank each area as to how important you feel each issue is to the education of American Indians.

Issue Areas	(4) Very Important	(3) Important	(2) Somewhat Important	(1) Not Very Important
Preschool Programs	()	()	()	()
Data Collection	()	()	()	()
Dropout Rate	()	()	()	()
Community Involvement	()	()	()	()
Counseling of American Indian Students	()	()	()	()
Professional Development	()	()	()	()
Funding of Tribal Schools	()	()	()	()
Native American Advocacy Position	()	()	()	()
Coordination of State Department	()	()	()	()
Services of Intermediate School Districts	()	()	()	()
Teenage Pregnancy	()	()	()	()
Curriculum Development	()	()	()	()
Higher Education Financial Assistance	()	()	()	()
American Indian Recruitment and Retention	()	()	()	()
Discrimination (Civil Rights)	()	()	()	()
School Conduct Codes	()	()	()	()
Attendance	()	()	()	()

CUMULATIVE TOTALS OF PUBLIC MEETINGS AND MAIL-IN RESPONSES

Issue Areas	Rank
Attendance	1
Counseling	2
Dropout Rate	3
Higher Education Financial Assistance	4
Community Involvement	5
Recruitment and Retention	6
Preschool Programs	7
Curriculum Development	8
Professional Development	9
Discrimination	10
Teenage pregnancy	11
Advocacy Position	12
Intermediate School Services	13
Data Collection	14
Tribal School Funding	15
Coordination of State Departments	16
School Conduct Codes	17

ADDITIONAL ISSUE PRIORITIES

These areas were also mentioned by those individuals who completed the Issue Priority Questionnaire as topics which needed to be addressed or implemented.

- Suicide prevention
- Substance abuse
- Cultural enrichment programs
- In-service training for teachers
- Additional library and resource materials on American Indians
- Accurate identification of Indian students
- Excessive use of attendance and behavioral patterns to grade students, as opposed to scholastic ability
- Child care and transportation for teen parents
- Increase vocational training opportunities
- Teach Indian history and culture in colleges and universities, especially for prospective teachers
- Require prospective teachers to take courses in Indian history and culture

APPENDIX D

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION DATA

The data presently collected by the Michigan Department of Education was insufficient to examine the performance of American Indian students in the public schools. The vast majority of the data, some of which is included in this report, only reports numbers of Indian students in grade levels and districts and their proportionate percentage to other ethnic groups.

Although this data is helpful, it does not address the hard questions pertaining to the educational experience of American Indian students in the public schools.

Under the Director of American Indian Education recommendation, data collection activities are specifically addressed under Item 7. The Committee, in the rationale for this recommendation, clearly states that "data collected by the Department is not accurate." One of the issues which confounds data collection is the determination of who's an American Indian.

Past efforts to verify documentation of American Indian status or tribal enrollment have proven that figures reported by the State of Michigan and similar institutions are often grossly overstated. This exaggeration often tends to minimize the severity of problems which American Indians are encountering in the educational arena.

As a result of these problems with existing data, the Committee spent a great deal of time in determining what types of information would be useful to assess the progress/status of American Indian students enrolled in public schools. The Committee decided that the following information would be necessary to determine the status and relative performance of American Indian students in public schools.

- *Age of student
- *Sex of student
- *Student enrolled in K-12 or adult education
- *Grade level
- *Curriculum
- *Grade point average (provide scale if necessary)
- *MEAP scores for grades 4, 7, 10
- *Provide an average of Indian students and district
- *Has the student participated in Chapter 1 (Title 1) programs?
- *Has the student ever been retained? If yes, indicate grade level and reason for retention.

*Has student withdrawn from school? If yes, give reason if known.
(Moved, military service, pregnancy, expulsion, dropout, other.)

*If student graduated, indicate class ranking.

The Committee did make an attempt to collect data on Indian students for select districts but these efforts were hampered by time limitations and the summer vacation. Two school districts, Lansing and Sault Ste. Marie, did provide very good data on their respective students to the Committee. It would be very difficult to generalize Indian student academic characteristics from the information provided by these school districts, and this task would be more successfully handled by the Michigan Department of Education in a comprehensive survey of Indian students in public schools.

Without question, data collection is an important activity so as to accurately assess the performance of American Indian students in the public schools. Data must be collected and systematically analyzed to identify future programming initiatives which can be implemented by the Michigan Department of Education.

TABLE 1
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION RACIAL-ETHNIC CENSUS DISTRICT SUMMARY
SCHOOL YEARS 1975-76 — 1984-85

Year	American Indian	%	Black	%	Asian American	%	Hispanic	%	White	%	Total	% Change
1975-76	15,184	0.7	308,270	14.8	6,615	0.3	33,374	1.6	1,715,453	82.5	2,078,896	—
1976-77	21,571	1.1	313,913	15.4	8,416	0.4	32,709	1.6	1,666,004	81.6	2,042,613	- 1.7
1977-78	19,279	1.0	311,786	15.7	9,556	0.5	32,710	1.6	1,609,605	81.2	1,982,936	- 2.9
1978-79	21,025	1.1	310,363	16.1	11,070	0.6	32,610	1.7	1,548,944	80.5	1,924,012 ¹	- 2.9
1979-80	16,739	0.9	307,261	16.5	11,420	0.6	33,016	1.8	1,495,231	80.2	1,863,667	- 3.1
1980-81	16,618	0.9	306,394	16.9	13,552	0.7	33,588	1.9	1,442,796	79.6	1,812,948	- 2.7
1981-82	15,118	0.9	300,478	17.4	14,159	0.8	31,543	1.8	1,366,923	79.1	1,728,221	- 4.6
1982-83	14,110	0.8	299,830	17.9	14,496	0.9	31,033	1.9	1,315,453	78.5	1,674,922	- 3.0
1983-84	16,005	1.0	295,283	18.1	14,467	0.9	31,199	1.9	1,275,468	78.1	1,632,422	- 2.5
1984-85	14,334	0.9	294,568	18.3	14,514	0.9	32,165	2.0	1,253,010	77.9	1,608,591	- 1.4
% Change Since												
1983-84												
		-10.4		- 0.2		+ 0.3		+ 2.7		- 1.8		
% Change Since												
1975-76												
		- 5.3		- 4.4		+119.4		- 3.6		-26.9		-22.6

¹

This data was collected by the Office of School and Community Affairs from the School Summary: Fourth Friday Membership and Personnel Report Form SC-4203

TABLE 2

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SCHOOLS MINORITY K-12 SCHOOL COUNT AND THEIR PERCENT REPRESENTATION

Racial Group	Elementary Grades Pre-K-6		Junior Grades 7-8		High School Grades 9-12		Total K-12	
	(Count)	(%)	(Count)	(%)	(Count)	(%)	(Count)	(%)
Black	150,670	82.5	59,229	84.3	78,034	82.4	294,568	82.8
Asian American	8,105	4.5	2,743	3.9	3,478	3.7	14,514	4.1
American Indian	6,222	3.4	2,540	3.6	4,944	5.2	14,334	4.0
Hispanic	17,676	9.7	5,763	8.2	8,242	8.7	32,165	9.0
TOTAL	182,673	100.0%	70,275	100.0%	94,698	100.0%	355,581	100.0%

Source: Michigan Department of Education, Office of School and Community Affairs: Form B-0108, January 1, 1985

TABLE 3

RACE OF PUPILS IN ALL MICHIGAN PUBLIC SCHOOLS BY LEVEL AND PERCENT REPRESENTATION

SCHOOL YEAR 1984-85 -- (A)

Racial Group	Elementary Grades Pre-K-6	Junior High Grades 7-8	High School Grades 9-12	Other	Total
White	47.46	18.01	32.66	1.87	77.9
Black	51.15	20.11	26.49	2.25	18.3
Asian American	55.84	18.90	23.96	1.30	0.9
American Indian	43.41	17.77	34.44	4.38	0.9
Hispanic	54.95	17.92	25.62	1.50	2.0
Total Percent Representation	48.32	18.40	31.33	1.95	100.0
Percent Average	50.56	18.55	28.63	2.26	

COMPARISON OF RACE PERCENT REPRESENTATION WITH THE PERCENT AVERAGE -- (B)

Racial Group	Elementary Grades Pre-K-6	Junior High Grades 7-8	High School Grades 9-12	Other
White	-3.10	-0.54	+4.03	-0.39
Black	+0.59	+1.56	-2.14	-0.01
Asian American	+5.28	+0.35	-4.67	-0.96
American Indian	-7.15	-0.78	+5.81	+2.12
Hispanic	+4.39	-0.15	-3.01	-0.76

Source: Michigan Department of Education, Office of School and Community Affairs, Indian Education Unit

TABLE 4
STUDENTS ATTENDING CLASSES IN BUILDINGS DESIGNATED AS "OTHER"
SCHOOL YEAR 1984-85

Racial Group	"Other"			Total	
	Number	Percent	Percent of Total	Number	Percent
White	23,384	1.8	77.4	1,253,010	77.9
Black	6,635	2.2	21.2	294,568	18.3
Asian American	188	1.3	0.6	14,514	0.9
American Indian	625	4.3	2.0	14,334	0.9
Hispanic	484	1.5	1.5	32,165	2.0
TOTAL	31,319	100.0	100.0	1,608,591	100.0
Average Percent		2.2			

The classification, "Other", represents schools which have only K-12 buildings, K-8 or K-6. These schools, for the most part, are in rural areas and are likely to be isolated. Based on each racial group having students attending schools classified as "Other", the Indian students have the greatest percent representation (4.3 %), or 4.3 out of each 100 Indian students attending public schools. Over 31,000 students attend public schools designated as "Other", this is almost 2% of the total school enrollment of 1,608,591. Fewer Asian Americans and Hispanics attend schools designated as "Other" than the other racial groups.

Source: Michigan Department of Education's Office of School and Community Affairs, Racial-Ethnic District Summary, 1984-85.

TABLE 5

PUBLIC AND NONPUBLIC MINORITY HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES REPORTED

SCHOOL YEARS 1976-1983

Year	Black	Percent	American Indian	Percent	Asian American	Percent	Hispanic	Percent	Total Minority
1976	13,019	84.1	654	4.2	282	1.8	1,535	9.9	15,490
1977	13,669	75.8	2,491	13.8	425	2.4	1,450	8.0	18,035
1978	14,057	74.9	2,583	13.8	465	2.5	1,655	8.8	18,760
1979	13,721	71.7	3,416	17.9	488	2.6	1,499	7.8 ¹	19,124
1980	13,064	80.4	1,021	6.3	586	3.6	1,581	9.7	16,252
1981	12,950	79.0	1,228	7.5	588	3.6	1,630	9.9	16,396
1982	13,247	78.9	1,081	6.4	752	4.5	1,716	10.2	16,796
1983	13,791	75.5	1,977	10.8	864	4.8	1,630	8.9	18,262
TOTAL	107,518		14,451		4,450		12,696		139,215
Percent of Minority Graduates:		77.2		10.3		3.2		9.1	

This table illustrates that of the minority high school population, only the Asian Americans and American Indians increased their percent representation of high school graduates in 1983. The average percent representation of 10.3% over the 8-year period for American Indians is consistent with the previous data illustrated. 1980-82 was a low period for Indian high school graduates; however, they rebounded in 1983.

¹ This data was collected from the "Report on Educational Plans of Michigan High School Graduates, 1983", Michigan Department of Education, Student Financial Assistance Services.

TABLE 6

PUBLIC AND NONPUBLIC MINORITY HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES BY RACIAL-ETHNIC COMPARISON

SCHOOL YEARS 1976-1983

Year	Black	Percent	American Indian	Percent	Asian American	Percent	Hispanic	Percent	White	Percent	Total
1976	13,019	8.9	654	0.4	282	0.2	1,535	1.1	130,567	89.4	146,057
1977	13,669	9.2	2,491	1.8	425	0.3	1,450	1.0	129,935	87.8	147,970
1978	14,057	9.7	2,583	1.8	465	0.3	1,655	1.1	125,730	87.0	144,490
1979	13,721	9.6	3,416	2.4	488	0.3	1,499	1.0	123,615	86.6	142,739
1980	13,064	9.6	1,021	0.7	586	0.4	1,581	1.1	119,852	88.1	136,104
1981	12,950	9.5	1,228	0.9	588	0.4	1,630	1.2	119,733	88.0	136,129
1982	13,247	10.0	1,081	0.8	752	0.6	1,716	1.3	115,848	87.3	132,644
1983	13,791	11.0	1,977	1.6	864	0.7	1,630	1.3	107,404	85.5	125,666
TOTAL	107,518		14,451		4,450		12,696		972,684		1,111,799
Percent of Graduates by Racial-Ethnic Comparison:											
		9.6		1.3		0.4		1.1		87.4	

This data was collected from the "Report on Educational Plans of Michigan High School Graduates, 1983", Michigan Department of Education, Student Financial Assistance Services.

TABLE 7
PUBLIC AND NONPUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES REPORTED
SCHOOL YEARS 1980-1983

Year	Black	American Indian	Asian American	Hispanic	White	Total
1980	13,064	1,021	586	1,581	119,852	136,104
1981	12,950	1,228	588	1,630	119,733	136,129
1982	13,247	1,081	752	1,716	115,848	132,644
1983	13,791	1,977	864	1,630	107,404	125,666
Total:	53,052	5,307	2,790	6,557	462,837	530,543
Average Per Year:	13,263	1,326	697	1,639	115,709	
Percent of Total:	10	1	0.53	1.2	87.2	

The total and percent of graduates presented in Table VII are the combined public and nonpublic high school graduates. There is no explanation available indicating why the number of Indian high school graduates increased so drastically in 1983 over the previous three-years.

The number of White high school graduates dropped by over 8,000 from 1982 to 1983. The Asian American high school graduates shows a gradual increase each year since 1980. The number of Black and Hispanic high school graduates varied slightly from 1980 to 1983. Their percent representation of high school graduates appears to be low when compared to their respective total K-12 enrollments.

Source: Michigan Department of Education, Student Financial Assistance Services.

TABLE 8
DISTRIBUTION OF PUBLIC SCHOOL STAFF BY SEX AND RACE
FOR THREE ASSIGNMENT TYPES
1984-1985

Assignment Type	Sex					
	Male	Percent of Total	Female	Percent of Total	Total	Percent
1. <u>Teaching Only</u>						
Race						
American Indian	64	0.1	120	0.1	184	0.2
White	29,914	34.1	47,974	54.7	77,888	88.8
Black	1,824	2.1	7,157	8.2	8,981	10.2
Asian or Pacific	65	0.1	173	0.2	238	0.3
Hispanic	154	0.2	268	0.3	422	0.5
TOTAL	32,021	36.5	55,692	63.5	87,713	100.0
2. <u>Administration Only</u>						
Race						
American Indian	13	0.2	1	0.0	14	0.2
White	5,124	72.0	1,113	15.6	6,237	87.6
Black	477	6.7	339	4.8	816	11.5
Asian or Pacific	6	0.1	3	0.0	9	0.1
Hispanic	27	0.4	15	0.2	42	0.6
TOTAL	5,647	79.3	1,471	20.7	7,118	100.0
3. <u>Teaching and Administration</u>						
Race						
American Indian	2	0.2	0	0.0	2	0.2
White	405	38.1	253	23.8	658	62.0
Black	140	13.2	250	23.5	390	36.7
Asian or Pacific	2	0.2	2	0.2	4	0.4
Hispanic	3	0.3	5	0.5	8	0.8
TOTAL	552	52.0	510	48.0	1,062	100.0
GRAND TOTAL	38,220	39.9	57,673	60.1	95,893	100.0

For further information contact: C. Danford Austin, Director
Thomas J. Schrauben, Consultant
Ghada Khoury, Consultant

Michigan Department of Education, Teacher Preparation and Certification Services

TABLE 9

ENROLLMENTS BY ETHNIC ORIGIN

(Summary Totals by Sector of Enrollments for Which Ethnic Origin is Reported - Fall 1984)

Association of Independent Colleges and Universities in Michigan

Sector	ETHNIC MINORITIES				SUBTOTAL Ethnic Minorities	White Non-Hispanic	GRAND TOTAL (Ethnic Origin Reported)
	Black Non-Hispanic	American Indian or Alaskan Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	Hispanic			
Independent, Non-Profit Colleges and Universities	8,553	209	775	988	10,525	61,717	72,242
As Percentage of Total Enrollment Reported for Sector	11.83%	0.30%	1.07%	1.37%	14.57%	85.43%	100.0%
Public Four-Year Colleges and Universities	16,202	1,100	3,547	2,607	23,456	200,092	223,548
As Percentage of Total Enrollment Reported for Sector	7.25%	0.49%	1.58%	1.17%	10.49%	89.51%	100.0%
Public Two-Year Institutions	20,621	1,167	1,666	2,108	25,562	168,414	193,976
As Percentage of Total Enrollment Reported for Sector	10.63%	0.60%	0.86%	1.09%	13.18%	86.82%	100.0%

Source: MEES Data reported by colleges and collected by Michigan Department of Education.

TABLE 10

FALL HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT BY RACIAL/ETHNIC/SEX

FOUR YEAR PUBLIC COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

1985

Institution	Non-Resident		Black		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		Total		TOTAL
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	
Central Michigan University	152	65	146	145	25	33	27	14	52	76	7,200	9,111	7,610	9,460	17,070
Eastern Michigan University	526	297	635	945	27	27	109	100	115	114	6,491	9,021	8,661	11,505	20,166
Ferris State College	95	14	244	197	13	13	10	10	34	34	6,057	4,172	6,461	4,440	10,909
Grand Valley State College	46	26	114	115	13	10	16	15	35	45	3,137	4,047	3,361	4,306	7,667
Lake Superior State College	294	253	51	1	24	39	3	2	4	1	1,110	902	1,494	1,190	2,692
Michigan State University	1,454	599	918	1,589	50	71	320	267	247	245	10,170	10,000	21,175	21,571	42,746
Michigan Technological	205	22	10	7	13	12	40	10	20	10	4,640	1,516	4,952	1,505	6,537
Northern Michigan University	29	14	101	72	64	50	10	13	10	7	3,480	3,725	3,710	3,001	7,599
Oakland University	48	10	176	393	12	24	77	93	30	59	4,462	7,106	4,813	7,773	12,586
Saginaw Valley State College	20	7	130	191	6	14	19	19	39	89	1,910	2,484	2,132	2,004	4,936
Univ of Michigan - Ann Arbor	1,096	526	720	937	69	89	806	641	322	246	15,362	12,720	19,253	15,203	34,456
Univ of Michigan - Dearborn	10	5	141	200	26	25	80	54	57	60	2,997	2,062	3,311	3,206	6,597
Univ of Michigan - Flint	0	0	127	346	22	29	23	30	34	35	2,239	2,707	2,445	3,227	5,672
Wayne State University	696	157	2,271	4,640	127	139	523	309	209	299	10,011	8,963	13,917	10,507	20,424
Western Michigan University	729	304	500	691	21	16	57	29	67	60	8,092	9,597	10,266	10,697	20,963
TOTAL	6,194	2,307	6,292	10,565	520	599	2,144	1,630	1,371	1,300	96,226	97,937	113,569	115,451	229,020

A. Anundsen 06/25/86

Source: Michigan Department of Education, Higher Education Management Services, 1985 HEGIS

56

TABLE 11

FALL HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT BY RACIAL/ETHNIC/SEX

TWO YEAR PUBLIC COLLEGES

1985

Institution	Non-Resident		Black		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		Total		TOTAL
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	
Alpena	1	0	30	13	1	1	5	3	5	6	850	901	892	924	1,816
Bay De Noc	2	1	0	0	17	32	0	1	0	4	735	1,101	754	1,139	1,893
Chas. S. Mott	10	3	474	913	42	63	22	37	86	95	3,763	4,697	4,397	5,808	10,205
Delta	4	1	225	499	16	18	22	25	131	179	3,722	5,514	4,120	6,236	10,356
Glen Oaks	0	1	13	5	2	4	3	2	2	4	514	610	542	626	1,168
Gogebic	0	0	211	0	11	9	2	2	21	1	539	533	784	545	1,329
Grand Rapids Jr.	13	7	320	285	36	31	72	64	83	74	4,667	4,130	5,197	4,593	9,790
Henry Ford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,078	7,499	15,577
Highland Park	21	10	479	1,442	1	12	3	15	0	2	26	64	530	1,545	2,075
Jackson	0	3	524	312	20	10	26	12	56	27	2,588	2,635	3,330	2,799	6,029
Kalamazoo Valley	119	21	376	445	20	31	35	32	42	35	3,293	3,977	3,885	4,541	8,426
Kellogg	24	1	123	214	9	12	13	10	27	25	1,484	2,413	1,599	2,684	4,283
Eastland	0	0	31	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	580	889	535	891	1,426
Lake Michigan	11	132	132	281	7	14	18	16	25	23	1,231	1,615	1,424	1,960	3,384
Lansing	143	430	430	595	50	60	160	117	150	212	7,637	9,458	8,578	10,970	19,548
Macomb	45	360	360	234	44	79	155	132	96	91	13,770	14,475	14,470	15,021	29,491
Mid Michigan	1	3	3	3	5	10	3	0	3	4	718	1,097	733	1,114	1,847
Monroe	2	6	6	9	2	0	2	0	6	12	1,107	1,742	1,125	1,777	2,902
Montcalm	0	0	225	0	18	1	3	1	9	10	741	719	896	731	1,727
Hushegon	0	0	130	193	35	63	12	5	27	35	2,043	2,682	2,247	2,898	5,145
North Central	1	1	3	1	18	14	4	2	4	3	449	1,042	478	1,064	1,542
Northwestern Michigan	6	2	1	3	12	12	6	2	8	2	1,326	1,637	1,359	1,658	3,017
Oakland	79	49	488	874	26	42	85	73	120	164	10,490	14,143	11,208	15,345	26,553
Schoolcraft	0	0	69	92	0	12	41	47	16	20	3,592	4,477	3,726	4,648	8,374
St. Clair	5	1	15	37	2	12	5	5	12	12	1,270	2,114	1,317	2,181	3,498
Southwestern Michigan	17	4	76	84	6	9	4	9	15	13	898	1,346	1,140	1,341	2,481
Washtenaw	4	12	242	281	14	21	47	30	19	12	3,509	3,888	3,835	4,252	8,087
Wayne	259	86	2,125	5,800	41	67	58	53	52	78	1,314	2,201	3,049	4,365	12,214
West Shore	0	0	4	6	1	2	0	2	1	3	397	651	483	664	1,067
TOTAL	57		7,035	12,501	482	649	887	721	1,017	1,148	73,101	91,079	91,431	113,019	205,250

TABLE 12

FALL HEADCOUNT ENROLLMENT BY RACIAL/ETHNIC/SEX

MICHIGAN INDEPENDENT COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES

1985

Institution	Non-Resident		Black		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		Total		TOTAL
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	
Adrian	5	3	31	10	4	3	1	2	0	13	525	526	574	565	1,139
Albion	4	4	16	19	0	1	11	9	2	4	704	716	817	754	1,571
Alma	2	3	0	4	0	1	6	1	3	2	441	541	460	552	1,012
Andrews University	484	192	224	220	3	7	69	60	132	93	837	711	1,749	1,203	3,032
Aquinas	4	3	31	57	3	6	10	6	15	21	1,106	1,462	1,169	1,555	2,724
Baker Jr. College of D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	403	2,076	2,559
Calvin	102	174	9	15	3	1	9	0	5	4	1,726	1,076	1,934	2,070	4,012
Calvin Theology Seminary	65	1	2	0	0	0	11	2	1	0	143	10	222	13	235
Chrysler Institute of Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	497	140	637
Cleary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	205	676	881
College, Art & Design	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	660	506	1,166
Concordia	9	4	10	7	3	0	0	1	0	4	160	235	102	251	433
Cranbrook	10	10	1	1	0	0	3	4	1	1	54	46	69	62	131
Center for Human Studies	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	44	20	46	66
D'Este University	0	0	32	49	3	12	0	0	2	4	9	3	46	60	114
Davenport	4	4	56	252	0	41	5	14	20	41	1,021	2,039	1,122	3,239	4,361
Detroit Baptist Theology	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	1	42
Detroit College of Business	3	0	174	993	0	13	5	6	17	51	560	1,203	870	2,346	3,216
Detroit College of Law	5	0	31	29	2	1	9	7	1	7	445	233	493	277	770
Iconomical Theology Seminary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	12	85
General Motors Institute	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,513	929	3,442
Grace Bible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	53	73	53	126
Grand Rapids Baptist College	9	10	10	6	1	0	2	0	2	0	479	391	503	407	910
Great Lakes Bible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	71	155
Great Lakes Business	0	0	23	90	0	0	0	1	12	32	53	470	88	593	681
Hillsdale	11	2	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	480	502	501	505	1,006
Hope	25	26	4	8	4	1	13	5	0	14	1,060	1,346	1,122	1,400	2,522
Jordan College	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	481	1,450	1,931
Kalamazoo	30	20	9	15	0	0	22	21	7	1	449	533	517	590	1,115
Kendall School of Dentistry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	272	400	680

(Table 12 Continued)

Laurence Institute of Technology	122	16	293	185	29	13	77	24	52	10	4,356	1,094	4,929	1,342	6,271
Lewis College of Business	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	219	269
Macomb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	854	3,120	3,974
Marygrove	3	1	149	641	0	6	2	0	6	0	51	365	211	1,021	1,232
Mercy	5	4	84	655	0	3	5	20	5	16	200	1,397	307	2,095	2,402
Michigan Christian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	140	189	329
Midrasa College of Jon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	20	25
Muskegon Business	0	0	21	50	1	0	0	1	5	12	274	998	301	1,069	1,370
Nazareth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	209	647	856
Northwood Institute	44	4	70	64	0	0	2	0	2	3	956	689	1,002	766	1,848
Olivet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	375	305	680
Reformed Bible	32	33	0	0	1	0	10	3	1	1	63	43	107	80	187
Sacred Heart Seminary	0	0	0	6	1	1	1	0	3	3	171	120	180	130	318
Saint John's Prov. S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	47	124
Saint Mary's	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	122	221
Siena Heights	10	14	44	62	1	5	0	4	8	35	457	839	528	959	1,487
Spring Arbor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	508	684	1,072
St. Cyril and Methodology	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	26	62
Suomi	4	2	64	57	2	15	3	0	4	5	167	374	244	653	697
Thomas H. Cooley Law	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	708	320	1,028
University of Detroit	322	49	297	717	10	4	57	20	52	24	2,550	1,752	3,200	2,570	5,866
Walsh College	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,021	1,000	2,101
Western Theological	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	51	180
William Tyndale	1	1	57	16	0	0	4	0	1	0	149	97	212	114	326
TOTAL	1,404	592	1,773	4,248	87	130	337	227	383	410	19,841	21,596	33,520	40,159	73,679

B. Anundsen 06/25/86

Source: Michigan Department of Education, Higher Education Management Services, 1985 HEGIS

TABLE 13 - STATEWIDE DROPOUT RATES RACIAL-ETHNIC GROUP, GRADE AND SEX, 1976-77

Grade	American Indian or Alaskan Native or Native American			Asian or Pacific Islander			Black, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			Latino or Hispanic			White, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			GRAND TOTAL		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
9	Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership		
	553	632	1,185	192	183	375	12,798	12,282	25,080	1,019	946	1,965	70,669	66,996	137,665	85,231	81,039	166,270
	Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts		
	25	21	46	6	5	11	1,571	1,089	2,660	88	64	144	1,956	1,344	3,300	3,638	2,523	6,161
	Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)		
	4.52	3.32	3.88	3.13	2.73	2.93	12.28	8.87	10.61	7.85	6.77	7.33	2.77	2.01	2.40	4.27	3.11	3.71
10	Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership		
	547	549	1,096	210	170	380	10,820	11,038	21,858	1,054	950	2,004	71,425	68,154	139,579	84,856	80,861	164,917
	Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts		
	51	38	89	3	5	8	1,602	1,250	2,850	182	147	329	4,677	3,511	8,188	6,515	4,959	11,474
	Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)		
	9.32	6.92	8.12	1.43	2.94	2.11	14.81	11.40	13.00	17.27	15.47	16.42	6.55	5.15	5.87	7.75	6.13	6.96
11	Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership		
	490	520	1,010	176	188	364	8,035	8,030	16,065	795	755	1,550	67,293	64,710	132,003	76,789	75,003	151,792
	Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts		
	46	61	107	5	5	10	1,230	999	2,229	145	110	255	5,078	4,367	9,445	7,304	5,522	12,826
	Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)		
	9.39	7.88	8.61	2.84	2.66	2.75	15.31	11.31	13.22	18.24	14.57	16.45	7.53	6.75	7.16	9.51	7.36	8.45
12	Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership		
	451	475	926	165	177	342	6,165	6,738	12,903	686	706	1,392	62,672	61,471	124,143	70,139	69,567	139,706
	Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts		
	37	33	70	8	1	9	729	587	1,316	184	60	244	4,320	2,876	7,196	5,190	3,557	8,747
	Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)		
	8.20	6.95	7.56	4.85	0.56	2.63	11.82	8.71	10.20	15.16	8.50	11.78	6.89	4.68	5.80	7.41	5.11	6.27
GRAND TOTAL	Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership			Adjusted Membership		
	2,041	2,176	4,217	741	718	1,459	37,818	38,888	76,706	3,554	3,357	6,911	272,059	261,331	533,390	316,215	306,470	622,685
	Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts			Dropouts		
	159	133	292	22	16	38	5,132	3,933	9,065	511	381	892	16,831	12,098	28,929	22,655	16,561	39,216
	Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)			Dropout Rate (%)		
	7.79	6.11	6.92	2.96	2.23	2.60	13.57	10.11	11.82	14.38	11.35	12.91	6.19	4.63	5.42	7.16	5.40	6.18

Source: Research, Evaluation and Assessment Services, Michigan Department of Education.

TABLE 14 - STATEWIDE DROPOUT RATES BY RACIAL-ETHNIC GROUP, GRADE AND SEX, 1977-78

Grade	American Indian or Alaskan Native or Native American			Asian or Pacific Islander			Black, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			Latino or Hispanic			White, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			GRAND TOTAL		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
9	Adjusted Membership																	
	769	766	1,535	224	195	419	12,136	12,107	24,243	1,079	1,051	2,130	60,345	65,340	125,685	82,553	79,459	162,012
	Dropouts																	
	46	32	78	2	1	3	1,191	841	2,032	65	62	127	2,110	1,319	3,429	3,814	2,315	5,729
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	5.98	4.18	5.00	0.89	0.51	0.72	9.81	6.95	8.38	6.02	5.90	5.96	3.49	2.11	2.61	4.14	2.91	3.54
10	Adjusted Membership																	
	740	680	1,420	230	226	456	9,987	10,291	20,278	1,078	985	2,063	69,776	65,724	135,500	81,811	77,906	159,717
	Dropouts																	
	47	46	93	11	5	16	1,461	1,181	2,642	155	130	293	5,893	3,646	9,739	6,747	5,816	11,763
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	6.35	6.76	6.55	4.78	2.21	3.51	14.63	11.48	12.93	14.38	14.01	14.20	7.30	5.55	6.45	8.25	6.44	7.36
11	Adjusted Membership																	
	664	654	1,318	229	218	447	7,754	8,649	16,403	899	811	1,710	66,277	64,297	130,574	75,823	74,629	150,452
	Dropouts																	
	56	55	111	15	6	21	1,070	969	2,039	149	110	259	6,077	4,553	10,630	7,367	5,693	13,060
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	8.43	8.41	8.42	6.55	2.75	4.70	13.80	11.20	12.43	16.57	13.56	15.15	9.17	7.08	8.14	9.72	7.63	8.68
12	Adjusted Membership																	
	575	576	1,151	200	209	409	6,020	7,174	13,194	716	702	1,418	61,281	60,814	122,095	60,792	60,675	121,467
	Dropouts																	
	47	18	65	14	6	20	763	646	1,409	102	46	148	4,346	3,009	7,355	5,273	1,725	6,998
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	8.17	3.13	5.65	7.00	2.87	4.89	12.67	9.00	10.68	14.25	6.55	10.44	7.09	5.01	6.06	7.66	5.02	6.54
GRAND TOTAL	Adjusted Membership																	
	2,740	2,676	5,416	883	848	1,731	35,897	38,221	74,118	3,772	3,549	7,321	265,679	255,375	521,054	308,979	300,669	609,648
	Dropouts																	
	196	151	347	42	18	60	4,465	3,637	8,102	471	356	827	17,626	12,587	30,213	22,800	16,749	39,549
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	7.15	5.64	6.40	4.76	2.12	3.47	12.44	9.52	10.93	12.49	10.03	11.30	6.63	4.93	5.80	7.38	5.57	6.49

Source: Michigan Department of Education

TABLE 15 - STATEWIDE DROPOUT RATES BY RACIAL-ETHNIC GROUP, GRADE AND SEX, 1976-79

Grade		American Indian or Alaskan Native or Native American			Asian or Pacific Islander			Black, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			Latino or Hispanic			White, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			GRAND TOTAL		
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
9	Adjusted Membership	879	903	1,782	271	241	512	11,807	11,694	23,501	1,178	1,064	2,242	66,346	63,655	130,001	80,401	77,557	158,038
	Dropouts	44	38	82	7	3	10	1,224	805	2,029	65	54	119	2,008	1,367	3,455	3,428	2,267	5,695
	Dropout Rate (%)	5.01	4.21	4.60	2.58	1.24	1.95	10.37	6.88	8.63	5.52	5.08	5.31	3.15	2.15	2.64	4.26	2.92	3.60
10	Adjusted Membership	858	797	1,655	224	218	442	9,894	10,172	20,066	1,012	940	1,952	66,674	63,718	130,392	78,662	75,845	154,507
	Dropouts	64	62	126	12	7	19	1,323	1,046	2,369	159	123	282	4,796	3,458	8,254	6,354	4,696	11,050
	Dropout Rate (%)	7.46	7.78	7.61	5.36	3.21	4.30	13.37	10.28	11.81	15.71	13.09	14.45	7.19	5.43	6.33	8.08	6.19	7.15
11	Adjusted Membership	817	749	1,566	235	202	437	7,709	8,181	15,890	910	811	1,721	64,706	61,357	126,063	74,377	71,300	145,677
	Dropouts	83	72	155	12	6	18	1,020	802	1,822	160	112	272	5,921	4,245	10,166	7,196	5,237	12,433
	Dropout Rate (%)	10.16	9.61	9.90	5.11	2.97	4.12	13.23	9.80	11.47	17.58	13.81	15.80	9.15	6.92	8.06	9.68	7.35	8.53
12	Adjusted Membership	669	619	1,288	233	221	454	6,007	7,226	13,233	723	758	1,481	60,052	59,431	119,483	67,784	68,255	135,939
	Dropouts	61	29	90	5	5	10	710	579	1,289	103	83	186	3,973	2,983	6,956	4,852	3,679	8,531
	Dropout Rate (%)	9.12	4.68	6.99	2.15	2.26	2.20	11.82	8.01	9.74	14.25	10.95	12.56	6.62	5.02	5.82	7.17	5.39	6.28
GRAND TOTAL	Adjusted Membership	3,223	3,068	6,291	963	882	1,845	35,417	37,273	72,690	3,823	3,573	7,396	257,778	248,161	505,939	301,204	292,957	594,161
	Dropouts	252	201	453	36	21	57	4,277	3,232	7,509	407	372	779	16,778	12,053	28,831	21,830	15,879	37,709
	Dropout Rate (%)	7.82	6.55	7.20	3.74	2.38	3.09	12.08	8.671	10.33	12.74	10.41	11.61	6.51	4.86	5.70	7.25	5.42	6.35

TABLE 16 - STATEWIDE DROPOUT RATES BY RACIAL-ETHNIC GROUP, GRADE AND SEX, 1979-80

Grade		American Indian or Alaskan Native or Native American			Asian or Pacific Islander			Black, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			Latino or Hispanic			White, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			GRAND TOTAL		
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
9	Adjusted Membership	647	692	1,339	319	251	570	11,705	11,629	23,334	1,051	971	2,022	61,005	57,947	119,752	75,527	71,490	147,017
	Dropouts	19	20	39	11	9	20	1,500	956	2,456	91	51	142	2,189	1,520	3,717	3,810	2,564	6,374
	Dropout Rate (%)	2.94	2.89	2.91	3.45	3.59	3.51	12.82	8.23	10.53	8.66	5.25	7.02	3.59	2.64	3.10	5.04	3.59	4.14
10	Adjusted Membership	607	681	1,288	329	270	599	9,597	9,856	19,453	1,101	925	2,026	64,231	61,500	125,819	75,945	73,320	149,265
	Dropouts	71	35	106	17	11	28	1,624	1,295	2,919	161	114	275	4,246	3,304	7,550	6,119	4,759	10,878
	Dropout Rate (%)	10.33	5.14	7.75	5.17	4.07	4.67	16.92	13.14	15.01	14.62	12.32	13.57	6.61	5.36	6.00	8.06	6.49	7.29
11	Adjusted Membership	610	592	1,202	205	249	454	7,013	7,006	14,019	881	843	1,724	62,605	59,662	122,347	71,494	69,232	140,726
	Dropouts	51	37	88	15	8	23	1,258	1,030	2,288	109	87	196	4,910	3,814	8,724	6,343	4,976	11,319
	Dropout Rate (%)	8.10	6.25	7.20	7.26	3.21	5.07	17.94	14.66	16.36	12.37	10.32	11.37	7.83	6.39	7.13	8.87	7.19	8.04
12	Adjusted Membership	504	556	1,060	265	204	469	5,804	6,769	12,573	800	772	1,572	58,770	57,162	115,932	66,343	65,543	131,886
	Dropouts	34	35	69	10	11	21	923	869	1,792	104	71	175	3,747	2,625	6,372	4,824	3,611	8,435
	Dropout Rate (%)	6.74	6.29	6.50	3.79	5.39	4.48	15.89	12.84	14.46	12.99	9.20	11.14	6.38	4.59	5.50	7.27	5.51	6.40
GRAND TOTAL	Adjusted Membership	2,540	2,521	5,061	1,190	1,054	2,244	34,199	36,140	70,339	3,833	3,511	7,344	247,491	236,359	483,850	289,389	279,585	568,974
	Dropouts	171	127	298	61	39	100	5,305	4,150	9,455	467	323	790	15,092	11,271	26,363	21,896	15,910	37,806
	Dropout Rate (%)	6.71	5.04	5.88	5.09	3.70	4.44	15.51	11.48	13.44	12.06	9.20	10.70	6.10	4.77	5.45	7.59	5.69	6.58

TABLE 17 - STATEWIDE DROPOUT RATE, RACIAL-ETHNIC GROUP, GRADE AND SEX, 1980-81

Grade		American Indian or Alaskan Native or Native American			Asian or Pacific Islander			Black, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			Latino or Hispanic			White, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			GRAND TOTAL		
		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
9	Adjusted Membership	555	520	1,083	396	393	789	12,736	12,401	25,217	1,095	990	2,085	58,408	56,298	114,706	73,190	70,690	143,880
	Dropouts	39	31	70	9	7	16	885	651	1,536	77	67	124	2,090	1,403	3,493	3,100	2,139	5,239
	Dropout Rate (%)	7.03	5.97	6.46	2.27	1.78	2.03	6.95	5.22	6.09	7.03	6.75	5.95	3.58	2.49	3.05	4.24	3.03	3.64
10	Adjusted Membership	632	620	1,252	386	399	685	11,367	11,435	22,782	1,069	985	2,954	60,696	56,955	117,651	74,130	70,130	144,424
	Dropouts	56	32	88	11	6	17	1,321	1,005	2,326	128	95	223	3,883	2,887	6,770	5,399	4,025	9,424
	Dropout Rate (%)	8.86	5.16	7.03	2.85	2.01	2.48	11.64	8.790	10.21	11.97	9.64	10.86	6.40	5.07	5.75	7.28	5.73	6.53
11	Adjusted Membership	551	585	1,136	404	282	686	8,728	9,173	17,901	895	825	1,720	60,328	57,779	118,127	70,906	68,664	139,570
	Dropouts	65	48	113	22	14	36	970	824	1,794	115	77	192	4,371	3,245	7,616	5,543	4,208	9,751
	Dropout Rate (%)	11.80	8.21	9.95	5.45	4.96	5.25	11.11	8.90	10.02	12.85	9.33	11.16	7.25	5.61	6.45	7.82	6.13	6.99
12	Adjusted Membership	506	439	945	387	290	597	6,654	7,679	14,333	792	771	1,563	57,769	55,987	113,756	66,020	65,206	131,234
	Dropouts	27	21	48	14	11	25	711	671	1,382	84	54	138	3,235	2,221	5,456	4,071	2,970	7,049
	Dropout Rate (%)	5.34	4.78	5.07	3.62	3.79	4.19	10.69	8.74	9.64	10.61	7.00	8.83	5.60	3.97	4.80	6.17	4.57	5.37
GRAND TOTAL	Adjusted Membership	2,244	2,213	4,456	1,493	1,264	2,757	39,465	40,768	80,233	3,851	3,571	7,422	237,201	227,039	464,240	284,254	274,854	559,108
	Dropouts	187	132	319	56	38	94	3,887	3,151	7,038	484	273	677	13,579	9,756	23,335	18,113	13,350	31,463
	Dropout Rate (%)	8.33	5.97	7.16	3.75	3.01	3.41	9.85	7.73	8.77	12.49	7.64	9.12	5.72	4.30	5.03	6.37	4.86	5.63

Source: Research, Evaluation and Assessment Services, Michigan Department of Education.

TABLE 10 - STATEWIDE DROPOUT RATES BY RACIAL-ETHNIC GROUP, GRADE AND SEX, 1981-82

Grade	American Indian or Alaskan Native or Native American			Asian or Pacific Islander			Black, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			Latino or Hispanic			White, not of Latino or Hispanic Origin			GRAND TOTAL		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
9	Adjusted Membership																	
	581	548	1,129	440	360	800	13,650	13,714	27,364	1,066	960	2,026	55,113	53,557	108,670	70,650	69,139	139,789
	Dropouts																	
	37	28	65	3	2	5	735	492	1,227	72	47	119	1,736	1,174	2,910	2,583	1,743	4,326
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	6.37	5.11	5.76	0.68	0.56	0.63	5.46	3.59	4.52	6.75	4.90	5.87	3.15	2.19	2.68	3.66	2.52	3.09
10	Adjusted Membership																	
	541	545	1,086	449	355	804	10,412	10,728	21,140	955	911	1,866	56,614	53,740	110,354	60,971	66,287	127,258
	Dropouts																	
	38	42	80	13	10	23	1,173	817	1,990	124	106	230	3,405	2,460	5,865	4,753	3,443	8,196
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	7.02	7.71	7.37	2.90	2.82	2.86	11.27	7.62	9.41	12.98	11.64	12.33	6.01	4.59	5.32	6.09	5.19	6.06
11	Adjusted Membership																	
	566	554	1,120	388	335	723	8,466	9,088	17,554	926	843	1,769	56,681	53,851	110,532	67,027	64,671	131,698
	Dropouts																	
	45	41	86	16	15	31	929	779	1,708	103	100	203	3,980	2,858	6,838	4,993	3,793	8,786
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	7.95	7.40	7.68	4.12	4.48	4.29	10.97	8.57	9.73	11.02	11.86	11.47	6.00	5.31	6.11	7.45	5.87	6.67
12	Adjusted Membership																	
	445	407	852	400	334	734	6,407	7,413	13,820	777	755	1,532	56,121	54,681	110,802	64,168	63,670	127,838
	Dropouts																	
	36	22	58	13	7	20	788	599	1,387	74	68	142	2,958	2,025	4,983	3,709	2,721	6,430
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	7.91	5.52	6.76	3.19	2.10	2.70	11.05	8.08	9.46	9.52	9.01	9.27	5.27	3.70	4.50	5.90	4.27	5.09
GRAND TOTAL	Adjusted Membership																	
	2,143	2,134	4,277	1,685	1,384	3,069	38,735	40,943	79,678	3,724	3,469	7,193	224,529	215,837	440,366	270,816	263,767	534,583
	Dropouts																	
	156	133	289	45	34	79	3,545	2,607	6,152	372	321	693	11,999	8,525	20,524	16,117	11,700	27,817
	Dropout Rate (%)																	
	7.28	6.23	6.76	2.67	2.46	2.57	9.15	6.44	7.62	9.99	9.25	9.63	5.34	3.95	4.66	5.95	4.44	5.20

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

MI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS OUTS	ASH DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS OUTS	WHI DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
000001														
	01010	ALCONA	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	4.4	26	4.5
	SUM		1	14.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	4.4	26	4.5
000002														
	02020	MORE TOWNSHIP	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.5
	02060	MATHIAS TOWNSHIP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	02070	MUMFORD	4	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	1.7	9	2.4
	02080	ROCK RIVER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.8	1	.8
	SUM		5	6.6	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	6	1.2	11	1.9
000003														
-43	03010	PLAINWELL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	20.0	15	1.8	17	2.1
	03020	OSHEGO	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	27	3.8	28	3.9
	03030	ALLEGAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	56	6.7	56	6.4
	03040	WATLAND UNION	4	25.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	33	4.9	37	5.2
	03050	FINDVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	13	4.6	15	4.4
	03060	PARISH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	6.7	15	6.6
	03070	MUPKINS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	4.7	15	4.9
	03080	SAUCATUCK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	3.2	6	3.1
	03100	HAMILTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	23	4.9	24	5.0
	SUM		4	19.0	0	0.0	2	3.8	4	4.2	201	4.5	211	4.5
000004														
	04010	ALPENA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	160	8.2	160	8.2
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	160	8.2	160	8.2
000005														
	05010	ALMA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	05035	CENTRAL LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	3.4	6	3.3
	05040	BELLAIKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	3.9	7	3.9
	05060	ELK RAPIDS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	2.3	7	2.3
	05065	ELL SWORTH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	05070	HAMELUMA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	4.9	14	4.8
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	3.2	34	3.1

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

MI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS OUTS	WHE DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
000006														
	06010	ARENAE EASTERN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.6	1	.6
	06020	AU LOIS SIMS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	2.8	5	2.8
	06030	STANDISH STELLI	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	6.5	43	6.5
SUM			1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	48	4.8	49	4.9
000007														
	07020	BARAGA	4	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	4.2	11	5.8
	07040	L ANSE IMP	9	22.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	4.7	24	6.7
SUM			13	20.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	22	4.4	35	6.4
000008														
	08010	BELTON KELLALC	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	7.5	46	7.5
	08030	HASTINGS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	55.3	50	4.9	51	5.0
	08050	THORNAPPLE KELL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	2.4	13	2.4
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1	109	5.0	110	5.0
000009														
	09010	DAY CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	8.7	128	3.5	145	3.6
	09030	DANFOR IMP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	2.5	32	2.5
	09050	ESSERVILLE HAMP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1	29	4.5	30	6.2
	09090	PINEUMING	3	14.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	1.8	19	2.1
SUM			3	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	8.0	205	3.1	226	3.2
000010														
	10015	JENIE CO. CEM.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	3.9	19	3.7
	10025	FRANKFORT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.1	2	1.0
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	3.1	21	3.0
000011														
	11010	GENION HARBOR	0	0.0	0	0.0	198	12.5	0	0.0	55	14.2	253	12.7
	11020	ST JOSEPH	1	3.3	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	14	1.5	16	1.7
	11030	LAKE SHORE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	3.9	37	3.8
	11035	RIVER VALLEY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	4.0	19	4.0
	11160	LALFON IMP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	2.0	5	2.0
	11700	NEW BUFFALO	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	3.0	11	.7

**MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)**

MSI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
	11210	BRANDWINE	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	35	8.5	37	8.0
	11240	MERRIEN SPRINGS	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	13.5	3	15.0	22	4.7	32	5.9
	11250	LAU CLAIRE	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	25.5	5	33.3	15	6.3	57	14.1
	11300	MILES	2	6.7	0	0.0	8	5.5	0	0.0	46	4.2	56	4.3
	11310	MUCHANAH	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.9	1	25.0	47	9.6	50	9.2
	11320	WATERVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	11.9	46	11.7
	11330	COLOMA	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	10.4	0	0.0	51	7.9	66	8.4
	11340	WRIGHTMAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.4	4	1.4
SUM			2	3.5	0	0.0	272	12.6	7	7.9	407	5.5	689	7.1

DROPS 2

45-	12010	COLOWATER	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	50	5.3	53	5.5
	12020	BRONSON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	3.2	13	3.2
	12040	QUINCY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	2.6	10	2.6
SUM			2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	73	4.2	76	4.4

DROPS 3

	13010	ALBION	1	20.0	0	0.0	25	10.8	2	5.4	52	15.0	80	12.9
	13020	BATTLE CREEK	2	15.4	0	0.0	32	4.3	0	0.0	115	8.0	149	6.5
	13050	ATHENS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	2.9	8	2.9
	13070	HARPER CREEK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	3.4	27	3.4
	13080	HOMER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	4.8	16	4.8
	13090	B. CREEK LAKEVIEW	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	33	3.2	33	3.2
	13110	MARSHALL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.4	30	3.4	31	3.6
	13120	PENNSFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	2.9	15	2.8
	13130	TERONSHA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	4.0	7	4.0
	13155	UNION CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	4.7	19	4.6
SUM			3	15.0	0	0.0	57	5.5	3	2.5	322	5.3	382	5.3

DROPS 4

	14010	CASSOPOLIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	4.0	0	0.0	22	7.9	29	4.3
	14020	DOMAGAJE UNION	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	17.2	2	16.7	76	11.0	94	11.8
	14030	EDWARDSBURG	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	8.5	19	8.5
	14050	RANCELLUS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.5	4	1.4
SUM			0	0	0	0.0	23	8.2	2	12.5	141	8.3	166	8.3

DROPS 5

749	15010	HEAVER ISLAND	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	15020	BOYNE CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	3.8	13	3.7
	15030	BOYNE FALLS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.2	1	1.2

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

MI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	IND DROP RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASN DROP RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROP RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROP RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHI DROP RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROP RATE
	15050	CHARLEVOIX	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	2.3	12	2.5
	15060	EAST JORDAN	2	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	7.1	24	7.2
	SUM		4	12.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	47	3.8	51	4.0
000016														
	16015	CHENOYGAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	2.9	24	2.7
	16030	INLAND LAKES	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.7	4	1.7
	16070	MACLENNAN (EIT)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.6	1	1.6
	16100	WOLVERINE	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	7.8	7	8.9
	SUM		1	2.1	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	35	2.9	36	2.9
000017														
	17010	SAULT STE MARIE	50	14.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	2.7	51	5.3
	17050	BELTERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	3.8	4	3.2
	17090	PICKFORD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.7	2	1.7
	17110	QUADRA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	2.3	4	1.9
	17140	UNIMLES	4	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	3.4	8	3.9
	17160	WHITESIDE	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	10.0	4	12.5
	SUM		55	11.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	2.8	73	4.4
000018														
	18010	CLARE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	3.1	15	3.1
	18020	FARJELL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	8.4	34	8.4
	18060	HARRISON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	8.3	42	8.3
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	91	6.0	91	5.9
000019														
	19010	DEWITT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	7	1.3	8	1.4
	19070	FOWLER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.3	4	1.3
	19100	BATH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	25.0	4	1.3	7	2.1
	19120	OSIO ELSEE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	1.6	10	1.3
	19125	PEWABO-WESTPH.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.4	4	1.4
	19140	ST JOHNS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.3	39	3.4	40	3.4
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	8.8	70	2.1	75	2.2
000020														
	20015	CHANDLER-AUSABLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	53	9.0	53	9.0

MICHELAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

MSI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
SUM			0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	53	9.0	53	9.0
000021														
	21010	ESCANABA	2	5.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	3.9	53	3.9
	21025	CLABSTONE	2	4.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	4.3	25	4.3
	21060	RAPID RIVER	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	2.1	5	2.3
	21065	BIG MAY BE NOE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21090	MARK RYN-HARRIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	2.2	4	2.1
	21135	MID PENINSULA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.5	2	1.5
SUM			5	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	84	3.4	89	3.4
000022														
	22010	IRON MOUNTAIN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	2.7	12	2.7
	22025	NORWAY VULCAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	2.9	7	2.3
	22030	WRETTUNG TWP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	1.8	11	1.8
	22045	NORTH DICKINSON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	4.0	6	4.0
SUM			0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	2.4	36	2.4
000023														
	23010	BELLEVUE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	3.6	13	3.6
	23030	CHARLOTTE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	.6	7	.6
	23050	ELTON RAPIDS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	17	1.9	18	2.0
	23060	GRAND LEDGE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9	67	4.0	68	4.0
	23065	MAPLE VALLEY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	4.1	20	4.1
	23080	OLIVE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	4	1.3	5	1.6
	23090	PORTERVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	.8	2	.7
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	5.2	120	2.6	123	2.6
000024														
	24020	HARBOR SPRINGS	5	13.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.6	7	2.6
	24030	LITTLEFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	3.2	4	3.2
	24040	PELLISUM	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	2.1	5	2.3
	24070	PELOSKEY	3	8.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	3.6	33	3.8
SUM			7	8.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	3.0	49	3.3
000025														
	25010	ELMI	1	.6	1	4.3	454	6.6	21	12.7	345	12.6	822	9.6
	25030	GRAND BLAINE	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.3	0	0.0	68	3.7	69	3.3
	25040	MT MORRIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	6.1	0	0.0	49	6.7	50	3.8

MOI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS OUTS	WHI DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
		25050	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	1.5	8	1.5
		25060	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	7.8	31	7.6
		25070	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	1.3	3	1.2
		25080	2	2.0	1	2.2	9	14.5	7	31.8	112	6.8	131	6.9
		25100	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	41	4.3	41	4.3
		25110	1	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	16.7	37	3.6	42	3.7
		25120	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	4.0	60	3.9
		25130	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	5.3	23	5.2
		25140	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.5	61	6.1	62	5.0
		25150	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	23	1.7	25	1.8
		25160	5	15.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	14.3	54	3.6	61	4.0
		25200	0	0.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	7.9	14	3.1
		25210	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.9	0	0.0	31	11.4	33	7.9
		25220	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	1.9	9	1.8
		25240	0	0.0	0	0.0	57	8.4	10	29.4	22	8.2	89	9.1
		25250	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	3.4	27	3.4
		25260	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7	1	9.1	35	6.4	37	6.5
		25280	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	4.7	40	4.7
		SUM	11	2.2	3	2.1	526	8.4	46	15.2	1,091	5.4	1,677	6.1
		26010	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	5.9	31	5.9
		26040	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	4.1	28	4.1
		SUM	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	4.9	59	4.9
		27010	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.5	2	.9
		27020	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	2.1	14	2.0
		27040	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		27070	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	3.0	6	3.2
		27080	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.8	1	1.6
		SUM	2	5.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	21	1.8	23	1.9
		28010	5	15.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	75	2.6	80	2.7
		28035	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	1	1.2
		28070	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	2.8	7	2.7
		SUM	5	14.7	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	83	2.6	88	2.7

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

NO. INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
000020														
	29010	ALMA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.3	21	2.0	24	3.0
	29020	ASHLEY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	4.5	7	4.5
	29040	BRECKENRIDGE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	3.5	15	3.5
	29050	FULTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	2.2	4	2.2
	29060	ITAMCA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	1.7	9	1.6
	29100	ST LOUIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	2.5	11	2.3
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	3	3.6	70	2.6	73	2.6
000030														
	30010	CAMDEN FRONTIER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	2.2	4	2.3
	30020	MILLSDALE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	25	4.0	26	4.1
	30030	JONESVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	3.0	12	3.7
	30040	LITCHFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	5.1	8	5.1
	30050	NORTH ADAMS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	5.9	12	5.9
	30060	PITTSFORD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	6.4	14	6.4
	30070	READING	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	4.8	16	4.8
	30080	WALDRON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	3.0	6	3.0
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	1	7.7	97	4.4	98	4.4
000031														
	31010	HAMEOCK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	2.8	9	2.4
	31020	ADAMS TWP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	5.2	9	5.2
	31030	EALUMET	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	4.8	25	4.8
	31050	CHASSALL TWP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.2	1	1.0
	31100	OSCEOLA TWP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.4	1	.4
	31110	MCHIN-PORT, TWP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.0	4	1.0
	31130	LA LINDEN-MUMBL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	1.4	3	1.4
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	3.0	34	3.0
000032														
	32010	MAD AVE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	3.7	14	3.4
	32030	CASEVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	32050	ELKI-PICH-WATPI	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.7	9	2.0	10	2.7
	32060	HARBOR BEACH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.5	4	1.4
	32080	NORTH HURON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	2.0	5	1.9
	32090	OSWENDALE-GAGETN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.9	1	1.0
	32110	PORT HOPE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.7	2	2.7
	32170	UDLY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	3.2	11	3.2

1E INFORMATION SYSTEM
100001 REPORT 2

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.1	52	2.3	53	2.3
00033														
	33030	EAST LANSING	0	0.0	1	3.0	4	4.6	5	26.3	47	4.1	57	4.6
	33020	LANSING	9	11.0	15	9.3	122	8.4	59	9.4	204	6.0	407	7.6
	33040	DANSVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.3	4	1.3
	33060	MABLETT	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	31.3	1	16.7	9	1.8	11	2.3
	33070	HOLT	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.7	2	4.3	55	4.2	58	4.2
	33100	LESLIE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	3.0	17	3.0
	33130	MASON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	15.2	42	3.9	44	4.0
	33170	OKEMOS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	1.0	7	1.0
	33200	STOCKBRIDGE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	1.0	5	1.0
	33215	MAVERLY	0	0.0	2	20.6	4	13.3	5	13.5	52	5.1	63	5.8
	33220	WEMUERVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	2.6	7	2.6
	33230	WILLIAMSTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	17	3.7	20	4.2
SUM			9	10.2	16	6.8	134	8.4	77	10.3	546	4.3	702	5.3
00034														
	34010	IONIA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	56	3.9	56	3.0
	34040	BELDING	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	4.2	37	4.1
	34090	LAKEWOOD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	22.2	23	2.0	27	2.4
	34110	PORTLAND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	1.4	10	1.4
	34120	SARASAC	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	4.3	17	4.3
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	11.4	123	3.7	127	3.7
00035														
	35010	OSCODA	0	0.0	1	16.7	1	4.3	0	0.0	59	4.7	61	6.7
	35020	MALE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	2.6	9	2.3
	35030	TAMAS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	2.0	13	2.7
	35040	WHITTEMORE-PRES	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	5.9	21	5.7
SUM			0	0.0	1	7.1	1	5.9	0	0.0	102	5.0	104	4.9
00036														
	36015	FOREST PARK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	36025	WEST IRON CO.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	1.4	6	1.4
SUM			0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	6	.9	6	.9
00037														
	37010	MT PLEASANT	14	21.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	27.7	46	4.3	66	5.6

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

AGE INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
	37040	DEAL CITY	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	.9	3	1.4
	37060	SHEPHERD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	3.1	14	3.0
	SUM		14	19.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	4	21.4	64	3.5	83	4.4
	000018													
	18010	WESTERN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	1.3	9	1.3
	18020	VANDERCOOK LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	2.5	13	2.5
	18040	COLUMBIA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	2.3	15	2.3
	18050	GRASS LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	2.7	7	2.6
	18060	CONCORD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	2.0	6	2.0
	18090	EAST JACKSON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	5.5	22	5.4
	18100	HANOVER-NORTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	2.0	4	2.0
	18120	MICHIGAN CENTER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	9.5	42	9.4
	18130	NAPOLEON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	3.0	15	3.0
	18140	NORTHWEST	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	57	6.5	57	6.2
	18150	SPRINGPORT	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	5.6	16	5.9
	18170	JACKSON	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	8.4	1	3.0	112	10.6	144	9.4
	SUM		1	1.4	0	0.0	31	8.1	1	2.1	119	5.1	132	5.2
	000019													
	19010	KALAMAZOO	2	5.1	5	11.1	118	14.6	8	26.7	173	9.4	306	11.1
	19020	ELIHAM SCOTTS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	4.9	11	4.9
	19030	COMSTOCK	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	2.9	20	2.9
	19050	GALESBURG-AUG.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	3.6	14	3.5
	19065	GULL LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	2.1	16	2.1
	19130	PARCHMENT	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	7.4	0	0.0	38	7.2	40	7.0
	19140	PORTAGE	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	8.1	0	0.0	72	3.2	84	3.2
	19160	SCHOOLCRAFT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	3.9	10	3.9
	19170	VIKESBURG	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	1.2	9	1.1
	SUM		3	6.4	5	4.9	123	13.3	8	10.8	369	4.7	510	5.6
	000020													
	40020	FOREST	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	8.0	16	8.0
	40040	KALKASKA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	12.9	62	12.9
	SUM		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	78	11.5	78	11.4
	000021													
	41010	GRAND RAPIDS	11	20.4	2	1.8	130	7.3	33	19.2	210	6.7	386	7.3
	41020	LOWWIN HEIGHTS	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	7.1	2	14.3	23	4.8	27	5.2
	41025	NORTHVIEW	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	1.1	10	1.1

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
 BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
 BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
 1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

MDE INFORMATION SYSTEM
 DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASH DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
	41076	WYOMING	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	9.1	41	2.9	43	2.9
	41040	WYOM CENTER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	3.0	12	3.0
	41050	CALIFORNIA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	2.1	12	2.1
	41070	ELK SPRINGS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	7.8	52	7.8
	41080	CONSTOCK PARK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	2.5	11	2.5
	41090	EAST GR. RAPIDS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	.4	5	.4
	41110	FOREST HILLS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	.8	12	.8
	41120	LODGEVIEW LEE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	5.6	15	5.2
	41130	GRANDVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41140	RELOCATEDVILLE	2	16.7	0	0.0	2	20.0	2	50.0	14	3.9	20	5.0
	41145	KENOMA HILLS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	2.2	16	2.2
	41150	KEMO CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	4.3	15	4.2
	41160	KENIMOOD	0	0.0	6	18.2	18	22.2	4	12.5	75	4.2	103	5.3
	41170	LOWELL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	2.0	16	1.9
	41210	ROCKFORD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	2.5	29	2.5
	41240	SPARTA	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	55	5.0	58	5.3
	SUM		15	17.4	6	3.4	18	7.6	47	15.4	599	3.4	620	4.1
	43040	MALDEN	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	4.3	0	0.0	13	8.2	17	6.7
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0.0	4	4.3	0	0.0	13	8.2	17	6.7
	44010	LAPIER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	10.5	83	3.2	85	3.3
	44020	ALBION	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	2.7	12	2.6
	44030	DRYDEN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	2.2	8	2.2
	44040	IMLAY CITY	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	3	10.7	27	4.4	31	4.8
	44090	NORTH BRANCH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	2.4	12	2.4
	SUM		0	0.0	1	4.4	0	0.0	5	9.4	142	3.3	148	3.3
	45010	ELLEN LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	45020	LELAND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	45040	MONTICELLO	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.4	1	1.2
	45050	SUTTONS OAK	2	11.8	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	.9	5	2.1
	SUM		2	6.0	1	16.7	0	0	0	0.0	3	.5	6	.9
	46010	ABRIAN	0	0.0	1	6.3	3	10.7	21	10.1	71	3.7	78	6.4
	46020	ADDISON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	3.1	18	3.1

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

WDI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASH DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHI DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
	50100	FRASER	0	0.0	1	14.3	0	0.0	1	30.0	102	5.8	111	5.9
	50120	LAKE SHORE	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.3	1	5.9	46	2.9	48	2.9
	50130	S.E.S. LAKEVIEW	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	.7	9	.7
	50140	LAKE ANNE (HURON)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	2.7	62	2.7
	50160	MT CLEMENS	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	12.3	1	7.1	77	9.4	120	10.2
	50170	NEW HAVEN	0	0.0	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	2.8	11	3.9
	50180	RICHMOND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	1.5	11	1.5
	50190	ROMER	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.3	0	0.0	46	4.3	47	4.3
	50200	SOUTH LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	3.4	28	2.3
	50210	UTICA	1	1.7	2	2.2	0	0.0	1	2.4	216	2.5	242	2.5
	50220	VAN DYKE	11	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	18.2	137	11.7	150	11.4
	50230	WARREN	0	0.0	6	6.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	150	2.0	156	2.0
	50240	WARREN WOODS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	.6	11	.6
	SUM		13	3.3	14	4.3	51	8.2	9	5.1	1,573	3.8	1,640	3.8
000031														
	51020	BEAR LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.6	1	.6
	51045	KALEVA NORMAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.1	2	1.1
	51060	ONEKAMA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51070	MAMISTEE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	19	5.2	20	5.2
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3	22	2.0	23	2.0
000032														
	52015	M.E.C.E.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	.8	4	.8
	52040	LUENH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	2.4	17	2.5
	52090	NEGAUNEE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	1.3	7	1.3
	52110	REP-MICHIGANNE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	52170	MARQUETTE	7	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	2.4	32	2.5
	52180	ISHPeming	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	2.4	9	2.4
	SUM		2	2.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	67	2.0	69	2.0
000033														
	53010	MASON (NIV) (INT)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	19	5.0	20	5.2
	53020	MASON (NIV) (EAST)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	3.6	4	3.6
	53030	FREETOWN	1	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	3.7	4	6.7
	53040	LUDINGTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	11.1	24	3.0	27	3.2
	SUM		1	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	14.7	51	3.7	57	3.9
000034														
	54	54 NAPIES	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1	0	0.0	32	4.4	33	4.4

54 NAPIES

NEIGHAM POOLIE HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY SUMMIT AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

SLC INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	SCHOOL NAME	INDIAN DROPS-OUTS	IND DROPS-RATE	ASIAN DROPS-OUTS	ASN DROPS-RATE	BLACK DROPS-OUTS	BLK DROPS-RATE	HISPAN DROPS-OUTS	HSP DROPS-RATE	WHITE DROPS-OUTS	WHE DROPS-RATE	TOTAL DROPS-OUTS	TOT DROPS-RATE
		46040 BLISSFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	2.9	12	2.7
		46050 BRITTON WALON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.1	2	1.1
		46060 CLINTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	2.7	10	2.7
		46070 DEERFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		46080 HUNTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	19	4.9	20	5.1
		46090 MADISON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	20.6	5	3.4	12	3.4
		46100 PUMECI	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	25.1	4	1.4	8	2.7
		46110 ONSTED	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	1.8	9	1.7
		46120 SAND CREEK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	.9	2	.7
		46140 TUCUMSEH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	12.5	46	3.8	49	3.9
		SUM	1	12.5	1	3.4	3	8.3	22	19.7	128	3.7	238	3.5
		000047												
		47010 BRIGHTON	3	6.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	50	3.1	53	3.2
		47030 SUMMITVILLE	4	7.5	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	26	4.8	32	5.4
		47060 HARTLAND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	.8	2	.8
		47070 HOWELL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	89	5.0	89	4.7
		47080 PINCKNEY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	2.2	22	2.2
		SUM	7	3.8	1	16.7	0	0.0	1	3.6	195	3.3	204	3.3
		000048												
		48040 TANNUMMEHON	5	9.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	2.5	14	3.4
		SUM	5	9.8	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	9	2.5	14	3.4
		000049												
		49010 ST IGNACE	9	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	3.3	14	4.9
		49040 LES CHENEVIER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.9	1	.8
		49055 ENGADINE	1	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.9	3	2.2
		49110 MAKINAWA ISLAND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		SUM	10	4.4	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	8	1.9	18	2.7
		000050												
		50010 CENTER LINE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	84	7.8	84	7.7
		50020 EAST OLMSTED	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	177	9.4	178	9.4
		50030 ROSVILLE	1	7.7	0	0.0	3	7.7	1	7.1	181	7.7	186	7.7
		50040 ANCHOR BAY	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6	0	0.0	33	2.9	34	2.7
		50050 ARMADA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	3.9	17	3.9
		50070 CLINTONDALE	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.6	1	12.5	33	2.6	36	2.7
		50010 CHEPPEDA VALLEY	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0	54	2.9	55	2.9
		50010 FIDELITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	64	6.8	64	4.7

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
 BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
 BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
 1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

NOT INFORMATION SYSTEM
 DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
	54025	CHIPPEWA HILLS	4	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	3.4	26	3.7
	54040	MORLEY STAMWOOD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	7.6	26	7.6
	SUM		4	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	48	4.4	52	4.7
	000055													
	55010	CARNEY MADEAU	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.8	2	1.7
	55100	MINOMINIE SEHS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	7.3	20	7.3
	55115	NORTH CENTRAL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	2.2	5	2.2
	55120	STEPHENSON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	1.9	7	1.9
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	34	2.2	34	2.2
	000056													
-55-	56010	MIDLAND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	2.7	42	2.0
	56020	MULLOCK CREEK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	6.3	34	6.3
	56030	COLEMAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	3.0	11	3.0
	56050	MERIDIAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	7.3	46	7.3
	SUM		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	133	3.4	133	3.3
	000057													
	57020	LAKE CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	8.8	27	8.7
	57030	MCWAIN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	2.3	5	2.2
	SUM		0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	32	4.0	32	4.0
	000058													
	58010	MONROE	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	8.9	2	10.0	167	7.2	177	7.2
	58020	AIRPORT	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	20.0	1	12.5	43	6.5	52	7.1
	58030	WEBFORD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	60	4.8	61	4.8
	58050	DUMMEL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	3.3	17	3.2
	58070	IRA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.1	4	1.1
	58080	JEFFERSON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	5.0	38	5.0
	58090	MASON	2	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	6.7	7	1.7	11	2.2
	58100	SUMMITFIELD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	5.0	15	4.9
	58110	WHITFORD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	25.0	4	1.5	6	2.1
	SUM		2	2.4	0	0.0	16	10.5	3	9.4	337	5.1	363	5.2
	000059													
	59020	CARSH CITY CRYS.	1	31.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	21	4.5	23	4.8
	59045	PONTIACELLA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	3.0	10	3.0

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

DE INFORMATION SYSTEM
REPORT REPORT 2

JULY 26, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROP- OUTS	IND DROP RATE	ASIAN DROP- OUTS	ASH DROP RATE	BLACK DROP- OUTS	BLK DROP RATE	HISPAN DROP- OUTS	HSP DROP RATE	WHITE DROP- OUTS	WHI DROP RATE	TOTAL DROP- OUTS	TOT DROP RATE
	59070	GREENVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	45.5	51	5.4	58	5.8
	59080	FBI COUNTY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	5.7	25	5.5
	59090	LAKEVIEW	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	5.3	26	5.2
	59125	CENTRAL MONTECALM	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	41	6.8	42	6.9
	59150	VESTALBURG	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	53.3	6	2.7	7	3.0
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	19.5	122	5.1	128	5.3
00060														
	60010	ATLANTA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	5.3	8	4.9
	60020	HILLMAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	4.8	9	4.7
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	5.0	17	4.8
00061														
-56-	61010	MUSKEGON	2	9.1	0	0.0	20	3.3	1	1.9	52	5.2	65	4.5
	61020	MUSKEGON NGIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	9.7	3	30.0	11	28.2	76	11.0
	61060	MONA SHORES	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	3.2	29	3.2
	61065	OAKBIDGE	2	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	15.4	24	6.1	28	6.2
	61070	FRUITPORT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	20.0	45	7.8	48	7.9
	61170	HILLMAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	8.9	21	8.5
	61180	MONTAGUE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	4.0	14	3.8
	61190	ORCHARD VIEW	5	25.0	0	0.0	3	27.3	2	15.4	19	5.9	49	6.9
	61210	RAVENNA	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	5.7	19	5.8
	61220	RIETHS PUFFER	6	34.8	0	0.0	2	4.0	0	0.0	30	3.0	40	3.7
	61230	NORTH MUSKEGON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	1.1	5	1.1
	61240	WHITEHALL	1	14.7	0	0.0	2	5.3	0	0.0	33	6.7	36	6.6
SUM			19	14.4	0	0.0	69	6.3	11	7.6	331	5.2	430	5.6
00062														
	62040	FREMONT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	4.8	32	4.7
	62050	GRANT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4	15	3.3	16	3.3
	62060	HESTERIA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	1.5	5	1.5
	62070	NEWAYGO	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6	9	2.5	10	2.7
	62090	WHITE CLOUD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	3.4	15	3.0
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.7	76	3.7	78	3.6
00063														
	63010	BIRMINGHAM	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.6	0	0.0	53	1.8	54	1.8
	63020	FARMDALE	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	7.6	1	11.1	90	8.2	104	8.0
	63030	PONTIAC	10	27.2	1	3.7	186	4.5	43	14.1	137	13.5	427	10.7
	63040	ROYAL OAK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	120	4.5	120	4.5

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

MDI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	INH DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASH DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
000072														
	72010	GERRISH HIGGINS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	3.5	18	3.5
	72020	HOUGHTON LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	4.8	25	4.8
	SUM		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	4.2	43	4.2
000073														
	73010	SAGINAW	1	1.4	4	14.8	114	5.6	30	14.0	94	6.3	243	6.6
	73030	CARROLLTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	10.1	14	3.7	18	3.9
	73040	SAGINAW TWP	0	0.0	2	5.9	2	10.0	2	3.7	61	3.0	67	3.2
	73060	BUENA VISTA	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	1.7	7	14.6	6	7.1	20	3.6
	73110	CHESANING	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	28.6	47	5.3	60	9.2
	73170	WIRCH RUN	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0	24	3.7	29	3.7
	73180	WINDGATE-SPauld	1	2.1	0	0.0	3	3.2	8	8.8	39	5.3	51	5.3
	73190	FRANKENMUTH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	.3	2	.3
	73200	FREELAND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	3.1	14	3.0
	73210	HEMLER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	1.6	11	1.6
	73230	MILLBELL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	.5	2	.5
	73240	ST CHARLES	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	1.9	9	1.8
	73255	SWAN VALLEY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	1.5	10	1.4
	SUM		3	2.2	6	7.7	127	4.9	81	11.7	339	3.5	556	4.2
000074														
	74010	PORT HURON	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	2.7	4	7.1	150	4.8	159	4.7
	74030	ALCONAC	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	5.6	55	5.8
	74040	CAPAC	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4	7	1.7	8	1.8
	74050	EAST CHINA TWP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	38	2.6	38	2.6
	74100	MARYSVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	2.7	20	2.7
	74120	MEMPHIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.4	4	1.4
	74130	VALE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	.5	3	.5
	SUM		3	7.5	0	0.0	5	2.5	5	5.3	274	3.6	287	3.6
000075														
	75010	STURGIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	30	3.6	31	3.6
	75020	BURN OAK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	6.9	6	6.9
	75030	CENTREVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	4.7	15	4.6
	75040	COLON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	2.4	10	2.4
	75050	CONSTANTINE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	35	7.7	35	7.7
	75060	PENDOM	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.9	4	1.8
	75070	WHITE PIGEON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	7.6	26	7.6
	75080	THREE RIVERS	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.1	0	0.0	30	3.6	32	3.3

**MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)**

MOE INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	OLD DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	3	2.7	0	0.0	0.0	156	4.4	159	4.3
000076															
	76060	BROWN CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	20.0	20.0	20	6.2	22	6.6
	76070	CARSONVILLE-P.S.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	1.7	4	1.6
	76080	CHOSWILL LER.	1	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	9.1	9.1	28	4.2	32	4.4
	76090	DEARBORN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	14.3	1	8.0	5	1.1
	76140	HADLEY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3	8.3	20	2.9	21	3.0
	76160	PICK	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	11	5.9	11	5.8
	76210	SAMBUSH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	9	2.3	9	2.2
SUM			1	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	8.7	8.7	95	3.3	103	3.3
000077															
	77010	MANISTIQUE	7	13.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	13	3.3	20	4.3
SUM			7	13.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	13	3.3	20	4.3
000078															
	78020	BYRON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	11	2.6	11	2.3
	78030	DURAND	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3	8.3	59	7.3	60	7.3
	78040	LAINGSBURG	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	9	2.7	9	2.6
	78060	MORRIS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	6	1.6	6	1.3
	78070	NEW LOHRUP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	7	1.6	7	1.6
	78080	PERRY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	13	2.3	13	2.3
	78100	ORONHA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	21	2.4	21	2.4
	78110	QUINCY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8	4.8	91	6.3	92	6.3
SUM			0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.3	4.3	217	4.1	217	4.1
000079															
	79010	ARON FAIRGROVE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
	79020	CARD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4	3.4	15	3.0	16	4.9
	79030	CASS CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	14	2.6	14	2.6
	79040	KINGSBORN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	4	1.1	4	1.1
	79050	MAYVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	14	3.2	14	3.2
	79060	MILLINGTON	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	11	4.2	12	4.3
	79100	RIESE	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	2	5.0	3	7.5
	79110	UNIONVILLE SEM.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	5	1.2	5	1.2
	79140	VASSAR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	18.2	18.2	32	5.0	34	5.1
SUM			1	33.3	1	8.3	0	0.0	3	3.6	3.6	135	3.1	143	3.1

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

MSI INFORMATION SYSTEM
DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS OUTS	IND DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS OUTS	ASH DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
000080														
	80010	SOUTH HAVEN	2	28.6	0	0.0	2	3.5	2	15.4	61	8.3	67	7.3
	80020	BANGOR	1	100.0	0	0.0	4	4.8	0	0.0	24	6.3	33	6.2
	80040	COVERT	1	50.0	0	0.0	7	5.9	0	0.0	4	7.5	12	6.9
	80050	DECATUR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	3.8	10	3.4
	80090	BLOOMINGDALE	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.2	0	0.0	12	5.0	13	4.7
	80110	COHLES	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	4.3	18	4.2
	80120	HARTFORD	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8	24	7.0	28	7.0
	80130	LAURENCE	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0	7	3.9	8	4.3
	80140	LAUMON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.7	11	3.4	12	3.4
	80150	MATTAWAN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	1.7	11	1.7
	80160	PAN PAM	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	1.3	9	1.3
SUM			5	20.0	0	0.0	15	3.6	4	3.8	197	4.7	221	4.6

-50-

000081														
	81010	ANN ARBOR	3	9.4	10	6.8	35	3.6	7	15.6	118	5.0	173	5.4
	81020	YPSILANTI	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	3.4	0	0.0	50	2.7	28	2.3
	81040	WELSEA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	.9	7	.9
	81050	DETER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	1.4	9	1.4
	81070	LINCOLN CONS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	3.6	25	3.0
	81080	MANCHESTER	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	2.0	7	2.0
	81100	MILAM	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.8	0	0.0	15	2.3	17	2.3
	81120	SALINE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	1.1	11	1.1
	81140	WHITMORE LAKE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	2.3	8	2.2
	81150	WILLOW RUN	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	6.9	1	16.7	60	8.3	87	7.8
SUM			3	6.8	10	3.2	81	4.7	8	8.7	300	3.3	402	3.8

000082														
	82010	DETROIT	14	14.7	21	14.3	6,514	14.7	134	14.7	497	14.7	7,104	14.7
	82020	ALLEN PARK	0	0.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	1	16.7	29	3.6	31	3.9
	82025	CHERRY HILL	0	0.0	3	100.0	10	6.8	0	0.0	32	5.8	45	6.4
	82030	DEARBORN CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	11.1	110	2.5	115	2.6
	82040	DEARBORN HILLS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	4.0	30	3.9
	82045	FELVINDLE-A.P.C.	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	5	15.6	60	6.5	66	6.7
	82050	GARDEN CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	178	8.2	179	8.1
	82055	GROSSE POINTE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	53	1.7	53	1.7
	82060	HAMHAMER	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.7	1	14.3	42	8.4	44	6.8
	82070	HIGHLAND PARK	0	0.0	1	20.0	54	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	55	3.3
	82080	INXTER CITY	0	0.0	0	0.0	45	5.4	0	0.0	1	9.1	46	5.4
	82090	LINCOLN PARK	11	9.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	10.5	137	8.6	150	8.6
	82095	LIVONIA	0	0.0	2	3.8	0	0.0	2	7.1	158	2.3	162	2.3
	82100	PLYMOUTH CANTON	0	0.0	2	2.0	2	4.7	0	0.0	107	2.5	111	2.5

MICHIGAN PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS
 BY RACIAL-ETHNIC CATEGORY
 BY COUNTY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
 1983-84 (GRADES 9-12)

API INFORMATION SYSTEM
 DROPOUT REPORT 2

JULY 24, 1986

COUNTY	DIST CODE	DISTRICT NAME	INDIAN DROPS- OUTS	INH DROPS RATE	ASIAN DROPS- OUTS	ASN DROPS RATE	BLACK DROPS- OUTS	BLK DROPS RATE	HISPAN DROPS- OUTS	HSP DROPS RATE	WHITE DROPS- OUTS	WHT DROPS RATE	TOTAL DROPS- OUTS	TOT DROPS RATE
		87110 BEDFORD UNION	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	104	6.6	104	6.5
		87120 RIVER ROUGE	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	6.7	2	11.1	48	10.1	78	8.5
		87130 RUMIUS	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	4.9	0	0.0	81	6.6	98	6.1
		87140 SOUTH BEDFORD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	2.7	29	2.7
		87150 TAYLOR	2	2.5	11	42.5	35	19.0	16	19.5	293	4.9	357	5.7
		87155 TRENTON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	2.9	42	2.9
		87160 WAYNE-WEILAND	3	35.3	3	15.6	20	10.6	7	20.6	146	5.1	179	3.6
		87170 GRANDOTTE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	79	4.7	79	4.5
		87180 FLAT ROCK	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.3	0	0.0	21	4.0	22	4.2
		87220 MD. BRIDGMAN HILLS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	.3	1	.3
		87230 CRESTWOOD	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	5.0	28	5.0
		87240 WESTWOOD	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	8.2	1	50.0	23	6.6	32	7.4
		87250 ECLIPSE	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	9.2	5	8.6	37	16.5	79	11.5
		87290 GIBRALTAR	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	14	1.3	15	1.4
		87300 GROSSE ILE IMP	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	.8	4	.8
		87320 HARPER WOODS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	2.4	8	2.4
		87340 MURON	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	1.0	6	1.0
		87365 WOODHAVEN	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.3	63	5.2	64	4.9
		87390 NORTHVILLE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	2.5	30	2.5
		87400 RIVERVIEW	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	1.8	23	1.8
		87405 SOUTHGATE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	88	6.8	88	6.6
		87430 VAN METER	1	16.7	1	20.0	44	12.9	3	10.7	163	6.9	212	7.7
	SUM		31	6.8	46	8.2	6,842	13.8	186	12.9	2,745	4.6	9,869	8.9
	000083													
		81010 CADILLAC	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	110	10.5	111	10.6
		81060 MANION	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	8.6	21	8.6
		81070 MEXICA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	8.2	16	7.9
	SUM		1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	147	9.9	148	9.9
	TOTAL													
	SUM		294	6.3	122	3.7	8,859	11.7	721	10.2	16,966	4.2	26,960	5.4

APPENDIX E

RECENT FEDERAL INITIATIVES IN AMERICAN INDIAN EDUCATION

The Committee was requested by the Superintendent to review current and pending federal legislation which has an effect on American Indians in Michigan. This review was undertaken, yet, it is imperative to remember that the education of American Indians in Michigan is the responsibility of the State. The federal government, because of its unique relationship with American Indians, does provide a number of educational programs intended to benefit American Indians in public schools or tribal schools.

The Johnson-O'Malley Program (JOM) is one such program. Initiated in 1934, the program provides funds to Indian tribes for educational related services which are deemed necessary by the community. Another program administered by the federal government is the Indian Education Act of 1972 which provides per capita funds for Indian children enrolled in local school districts. At the present time, this program is administered by the U.S. Department of Education. To qualify for the program, a local district must have ten or more American Indian students enrolled in school. The program is administered by the LEA and an Indian parent committee which oversees and reviews program operation. The Indian Education Act, under the subparts of the Act, also funds demonstration projects and adult education. Without question, the Indian Education Act is the major source of support for Indian Education in Michigan.

In recent years, the Reagan Administration has discussed the possibility of changes in the structure and administration of Indian Education programs. As a part of the 1987 budget proposal, the administration proposed to provide Part A funds and JOM funds to states via block grants. Other proposed changes included transferring Part B & C funds to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. These proposals were defeated in debates on the 1987 budget, but a new set of proposals is included in the 1988 budget.

As a part of the 1988 budget proposal, the Reagan Administration seeks to turn over Indian schools administered by the Bureau of Indian Affairs to state governments or Indian tribes. Students enrolled in these schools account for 8 percent of all Indian children currently participating in educational programs.

This proposed transfer of funding and authority would have little impact on the education of Indian youth in Michigan. At the present time, there is only one tribal school in Michigan. The school is located on the Hannahville Reservation in the Upper Peninsula and is currently administered by the Tribe.

The proposed transfer of the BIA schools to state governments is being strongly opposed by tribal and organizational leaders at the national level. Susan Harjo, the Executive Director of the National Congress of American Indians, recently stated that the proposed transfer "ignores tribal wishes and proposes to turn over our most precious asset, our children."

Informed Washington sources believe that the proposed transfer will not be accomplished in the coming year. The Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, as well as upcoming appropriation hearings, will provide the opportunity for American Indian views to be heard on the issue.

The Indian Education Act will be introduced for reauthorization this year. Even though the program is not due for reauthorization until 1989, sponsors will seek reauthorization for the program in March of this year. As a part of the reauthorization proceedings, issues will surface which will have an impact on Indian Education in Michigan. One of the important topics for discussion as part of reauthorization is the proof of eligibility requirements for inclusion under Part A of the Indian Education Act. Another issue is the inclusion of Native Hawaiians as participants under Part A of the Act.

If the proof of eligibility requirements are very strict, requiring tribal identification and fixed blood quantum, Michigan would stand to lose a significant portion of Part A funds. The inclusion of Native Hawaiians will most certainly reduce the amount of per capita funds received for each child enrolled under the provisions of Part A of the Act.

Another important issue which does pertain to American Indian Education in Michigan is the passage of the Tribally Controlled Community College Assistance Act. The Act was signed into law on Tuesday, September 30, 1986. U.S. Representatives Dale Kildee and William Ford were instrumental in passing the bill which is an authorization statute for the existence and continued operation of tribally controlled community colleges. Appropriations for tribally controlled community colleges will still have to originate with the Department of Interior.

The passage of the Tribally Controlled Community College Assistance Act has some implications for Bay Mills Community College. Efforts need to be initiated to ensure that Bay Mills Community College meets the provisions of the legislation and is included in funding appropriations which will be recommended by the Department of Interior.

MICHIGAN STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION
STATEMENT OF ASSURANCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL LAW

The Michigan State Board of Education complies with all Federal laws and regulations prohibiting discrimination and with all requirements and regulations of the U.S. Department of Education. It is the policy of the Michigan State Board of Education that no person on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin or ancestry, age, sex, marital status or handicap shall be discriminated against, excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of or otherwise be subjected to discrimination in any program or activity for which it is responsible or for which it receives financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Education.